

EA

EHAB ALLABABIDI

Self-represented · 8516 W. Winona St., Chicago, Illinois 60656
(773) 920-0030 · defcon5ready@gmail.com

PART 2 OF 2

Pages 50–71 of 71 of the enclosed document. This transmission is 23 pages including this cover sheet.

TO Christine P. Anderson, Senior Counsel — Intake Division

ORGANIZATION Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Illinois, One Prudential Plaza, 130 East Randolph Drive, Suite 1500, Chicago, Illinois 60601-6219 · (312) 565-2600. Copy: Althea K. Welsh, Deputy Administrator, Intake and Administration; Lea S. Gutiérrez, Administrator.

FAX Chicago (312) 565-2320 · Springfield (217) 546-3785 — transmitted to both offices

FROM Ehab Allababidi, self-represented · (773) 920-0030

DATE August 14, 2026

PAGES 23 including this cover sheet

RE Request for investigation — MAX CHRISTIAN BOOSE (respondent)

SUBJECT OF THIS TRANSMISSION

Request for investigation dated August 6, 2026 — “Investigate the Lawyer Who Told a Reviewing Court That a Complaint to This Commission Justified Barring the Complainant From Filing.” Respondent: Max Christian Boose, Staff Attorney, Office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, Second District, 2032 Larkin Avenue, Elgin, Illinois 60123. Six counts, eight exhibits, under Ill. R. Prof. Conduct 3.3, 4.4, 5.1 and 8.4. Complete document, 71 pages, transmitted here by facsimile in two parts.

MATTERS REFERRED TO

- People v. Allababidi, Lake County No. 23 CF 1146 / 23CF00001146 — on appeal, Ill. App. Ct., 2d Dist., No. 2-26-0352
- People v. Allababidi, Cook County No. 23 CR 0241301 — on appeal, Ill. App. Ct., 1st Dist., No. 1-26-0055
- Allababidi v. Junkin, No. 1:26-cv-01077 (N.D. Ill.) — petition for habeas corpus, pending
- Allababidi v. Shepherd, No. 1:26-cv-06738 (N.D. Ill.) — pending

COMPLETENESS AND INTEGRITY

This document was transmitted in 2 parts solely because the receiving facsimile accepts 50 pages per transmission. It is one instrument. Every part bears the SHA-256 digest below, which is the digest of the complete 71-page document and is identical on every cover sheet. If any part is not received, please telephone the sender at (773) 920-0030 and it will be retransmitted the same day.

SHA-256 OF COMPLETE DOCUMENT c109b6e4549cb3f580a86059a50d8092
020fabdc878e5c8ec8fccf7db24b591b

The enclosed request is dated August 6, 2026 and states on its face that it was delivered by electronic mail on that date and that no copy was sent to the respondent. This facsimile of August 14, 2026 is a re-transmission of that same instrument, unaltered. It is not a second or amended request, and no copy of it is being sent to the respondent either.

Communications to the Commission are privileged under Ill. S. Ct. R. 775 and the Commission's file is confidential under Ill. S. Ct. R. 766. The sender relies on both.

Last Name		First	Middle	
Street, RR, Box No.				
City		State		
Charge/Code		D.O.W.		
Sex	Race	D.O.B	Ht.	Wt.
Hair	Eyes	D.L.#		

(In) Book	Tube	Date	By
-----------	------	------	----

(Out) Book	Tube	Date	By
------------	------	------	----

IN LEADS	Date
----------	------

IN NCIC	Date
---------	------

Cancelled Date	By
----------------	----

State of Illinois)
County of Lake) SS.

I have duly executed the within writ by reading the same to and arresting the within
named _____ this _____ day of _____ 20____
at _____ o'clock _____ .M, as I am herein commanded _____
Sheriff By _____

Fee \$ _____



EXHIBIT E

**June 17, 2026 — the minute sheet, the one-sentence order,
and the nunc pro tunc discharge**

ARDCB-000035 – ARDCB-000039

*Three pages. The sheet the respondent cited at SR-51 and SR-53 for the defendant's presence and
the oral motion, in a call captioned "Status."*

The pages that follow are a true copy of the referenced record, produced without alteration.

Court minutes and orders, Nineteenth Judicial Circuit, Courtroom T611 — June 17, 2026, captioned “Status” (cited in the Objection as SR-51 and SR-53)

What paragraph 4 of Exhibit B told the Court the sheet shows

[A June 17, 2026 minutes sheet and order **reflect defendant’s presence by video, and the circuit court granting an oral motion to lift the stay on his jail sentence.** Def. Supp. Rec. p. 51, 53 SR-51, SR-53.]

What is printed on the same records

[Court reporting: **ECR Specialist, Lake County Court Reporters.** Also printed: **“Public Defender Discharged”** and **“WARRANT WILL NOT BE QUASHED.”** The written order activating a 180-day term is one sentence. A separate order of the same day discharges the Public Defender nunc pro tunc to October 6, 2025, while the minutes of the same proceeding list that counsel as present.]

Quoted without alteration. The source page follows under the same Bates range.



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINETEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

People	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 23CF00001146
v.	)	Location: Courtroom 611
	)	Event Date: June 17, 2026 9:00 AM
PEOPLE VS ALLABABIDI	)	Event Type: Status
Defendant.	)	
	)	Clerk: Johanna B

Charge(s):

Count 1 625 ILCS 5/11-503(a)(1) AGG RECKLESS DRVG/BODILY HARM 4 Guilty
09/08/2025

Count 2 625 ILCS 5/11-601.5(b) SPEEDING 35+ MPH OVER LIMIT A Nolle Prosequi
09/08/2025

Criminal/Traffic - Minutes

Christopher R Stride, Judge
ECR Specialist, Lake County Court Reporters
Nicholas Shepherd, States Attorney
Bailey Russell, Public Defender

Present in Court

ALLABABIDI, EHAB, Appellant, Defendant present via video

Nature of Proceedings:

Event Result: Heard 06/17/2026.
Public Defender Discharged
WARRANT WILL NOT BE QUASHED
Oral Motion
TO LIFT STAY ON JAIL SENTENCE
Granted
Order Entered

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Erin Cartwright Weinstein
Clerk of the Court
Lake County, Illinois

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

vs.

EHAB ALLABABIDI

GEN. NO. 23CF00001146

ORDER

This matter coming before this Honorable Court with the Court being fully advised of the premises. It is so ordered:

1. The stay of the 180 day Lake County Jail term of the defendant's sentence is hereby lifted.

Enter:

Dated: June 17, 2026



JUDGE

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)SS
COUNTY OF LAKE)

Erin Cartwright Weinstein
Clerk of the Court
Lake County, Illinois

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS)

vs.)

General No. 23CF1146)

Ehab Allababidi)

ORDER

Public Defender Withdraw/Discharge

It is Hereby Ordered that the Lake County Public Defenders is discharged as attorney of record in the above-entitled cause;

Nunc pro tunc to October 6, 2025

Dated at Waukegan, Illinois, this 17 day of June, A.D., 2026:

ENTERED

Judge

- ☐ Pursuant to a hearing held in this matter, a Public Defender Fee in the amount of \$ _____ is hereby ordered.
- ☐ Pursuant to a hearing held in this matter, it has been determined that no Public Defender Fee shall be assessed.



EXHIBIT F

**December 8–10, 2025 — the supervising probation office's
written clearance of the screen**

ARDCB-000040 – ARDCB-000044

*Three pages of the electronic mail thread. Served on the respondent as Exhibit C to the notice of
July 30, 2026, five days before he filed Exhibit A.*

The pages that follow are a true copy of the referenced record, produced without alteration.

Adison Weeks, Officer, Cook County Adult Probation Department — to Ehab Allababidi, December 10, 2025, 12:37 p.m.

The clearance, in full

[Do you mind still please sending me a picture of your updated Adderall prescription? I know you are still being prescribed it, but I just want to avoid anyone giving you a hard time for taking it. **Your drug test results were positive for amphetamine, but it is all negative in my eyes because I know you are still taking the Adderall.** I just want to avoid anyone who sees this result though giving you a hard time for future reference.]

Paragraph 3.B of the petition sworn five months later, May 14, 2026

[The defendant tested positive for Amphetamine (**illegal substance**) on or about 11/10/2025.]

Quoted without alteration. The source page follows under the same Bates range.



Ehab Hilfiger <defcon5ready@gmail.com>

Re: Prescription Verification & Compliance Letter

1 message


Adison Weeks (Adult Probation) <Adison.Weeks@cookcountyil.gov>

Wed, Dec 10, 2025 at 10:32 PM

To: Ehab Hilfiger <defcon5ready@gmail.com>


Thanks so much!!

Adison Weeks, Officer
Cook County Adult Probation Department
2121 Euclid Ave
Rolling Meadows, Illinois 60008
Office: (847)818-2360
adison.weeks@cookcountyil.gov
adison b. weeks



From: Ehab Hilfiger <defcon5ready@gmail.com>**Sent:** Wednesday, December 10, 2025 1:40 PM**To:** Adison Weeks (Adult Probation) <Adison.Weeks@cookcountyil.gov>**Subject:** Re: Prescription Verification & Compliance Letter**External Message Disclaimer**

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.



Hi! Here you go ms Adison.

On Wed, Dec 10, 2025 at 12:37 PM Adison Weeks (Adult Probation) <Adison.Weeks@cookcountyil.gov> wrote:

Hey Ehab,

Do you mind still please sending me a picture of your updated Adderall prescription? I know you are still being prescribed it, but I just want to avoid anyone giving you a hard time for taking it. Your drug test results were positive for amphetamine, but it is all negative in my eyes because I know you are still taking the Adderall. I just want to avoid anyone who sees this result though giving you a hard time for future reference.

Thank you!

Best Regards,

Adison Weeks, Standard Caseload Officer

Cook County Adult Probation Department


2121 Euclid Ave

Rolling Meadows, Illinois 60008

Office: (847)818-2360

adison.weeks@cookcountyil.gov

adison b. weeks



From: Ehab Hilfiger <defcon5ready@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 5:04 PM

To: Adison Weeks (Adult Probation) <Adison.Weeks@cookcountyil.gov>

Subject: Re: Prescription Verification & Compliance Letter

External Message Disclaimer

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Re: Prescription Verification & Compliance Letter

Hi Adison,

Thank you so much for handling everything so quickly, I really appreciate it. I'll be there on Tuesday, December 23rd at 9 AM in person without fail.

I'll also keep you updated on the Lake matter.

Thanks again for all your help and communication.



EXHIBIT G

**July 20, 2026 — the insurer's written statement to its
regulator**

ARDCB-000045 – ARDCB-000049

Three pages of a six-page response of Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company to the Illinois Department of Insurance, Complaint No. 01124092. Served on the respondent as Exhibit E to the notice of July 30, 2026.

The pages that follow are a true copy of the referenced record, produced without alteration.

Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company to the Illinois Department of Insurance — File No. 01124092, July 20, 2026, signed Lourdes Krayser, Delivery Senior Manager

On coverage and liability

[covered by Allstate Policy No. 802 748 890, which carried **\$100,000 in property damage limits** ... Allstate **did not deny coverage to anyone** ... Allstate **did not dispute civil liability.**]

On what was presented, and by whom

[**Certainly at no time was Allstate presented** with any property damage loss of O'Brien Landscape ... in the sum of \$2,670.86 ... Allstate retained defense counsel ... to reach out to the State's Attorney and the public defender ... but **no one will respond or cooperate.**]

On what the parties could have done

[It is not unprecedented for the People and Allstate ... to get together to see if perhaps insurance payment could resolve this controversy. **That is not what happened here.**]

Quoted without alteration. The source page follows under the same Bates range.

July 20, 2026

RESPONSE SENT VIA: IL HELP CENTER

Attn: Maileen
Consumer Services Division
Illinois Department of Insurance
320 W. Washington Street
Springfield, IL 62767

IDOI File No.:	01124092
Complainant:	Ehab Allababidi
Policyholder:	Ehab Allababidi
Policy No.:	802 748 890
Product Type:	Automobile Policy
Policy Form:	ACR65-00
Respondent:	Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company
Date of Loss:	May 22, 2022
Allstate Claim No:	0670868884

Response Due Date: July 17, 2026 (extension secured until July 24, 2026)

Dear Maileen:

Thank you for allowing Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company (hereinafter “Allstate”) the opportunity to respond to the instant IDOI Complaint filed by Ehab Allababidi in relation to a revocation of sentencing that was initiated by the States Attorney in behalf of the People of the State of Illinois in a pending criminal case. Allstate is being faulted for not paying a property damage claim as part of this restitution in behalf of Mr. Allababidi. Mr. Allababidi expects Allstate to now paid said unreported PD claim and now and brings this to the attention of the Illinois Department of Insurance. Allstate responds as follows:

A. Introduction and Background.

This IDOI Complaint submitted by the Allstate insured, Ehab Allababidi, stems from a motor vehicle accident on May 23, 2022 at or near Illinois Route 22 and Old Mill Road in Vernon Township, Lake County, Illinois, wherein he caused alleged property damage to several parties and/or entities.

Allstate opened the claim under 0670868884. Allstate did not deny coverage to anyone. In fact, as pointed out by claimant/insured, Allstate paid out the one property claim that was pressed by Sentry Insurance in the sum of \$16,557.00. No other claims to date were presented by any persons, individuals, or insurance companies for alleged property damage. Mr. Allababidi never put Allstate on notice of any other such property claims against him. While Allstate adjusted the Sentry Insurance property claim from the standpoint of assuming the insured’s alleged civil liability, it did not nor could it be involved in any admission as to criminal conduct, charges, or sentencing.

Allstate is not denying coverage now for that reason since this was not even a civil settlement or judgment. Again, Mr. Allababidi agreed to the restitution terms and did nothing to pay it and never told Allstate about it. Mr. Allababidi's own conduct precipitated the pending petition for revocation. Neither did the public defender or the State. The fact that it is not paid as the insured agreed to by a date certain is why the insured now risks his plea deal and may face incarceration.

E. Allstate's Practice and Procedures as to Restitution.

More often than not, a restitution payment may be denied by personal liability insurer as not being covered without any repercussions. It is very rare for a criminal lawyer defending an insured in a criminal case with civil exposure as well, to seek payment of the restitution claim. Sometimes this is during the pendency of civil cases, sometimes not. For example, when there are murder charges pending against a driver and there is a likelihood of a restitution award (voluntary or not), Allstate is generally very careful in settling wrongful death cases not to release this remedy to the victim families in the criminal case by a broadly worded "any and all claims." It is not unprecedented for the People and Allstate (through its defense counsel) to get together to see if perhaps insurance payment could resolve this controversy. That is not what happened here.

Also, in the past when Allstate approached the parties, counsel, and the court in a criminal case to pay restitution, the judge was not willing to allow this because it was not paid out of pocket and served no deterrence to defendant from future conduct.

F. Allstate's Present Position in Connection with Reimbursing the Property Damage Victim the Restitution the Insured Himself Agreed to Pay.

Allstate does not know presently what the State's Attorney or the property damage victim, O'Brien Landscape, will agree to. Again, Allstate retained defense counsel upon receipt of the DOI Complaint to see if counsel could reach out to the State's Attorney and the public defender to resolve this for Mr. Allababidi, but no one will respond or cooperate. Further, Allstate is aware that in some instances the State's Attorney is not willing to accept payment from any insurance company, in lieu of a personal payment from defendant because of this sentencing. Again, this is not compensation. This is restitution and intended to be paid and financed by the criminal defendant in his own behalf.

Allstate is not unwilling still to take care of this payment if it can, even though this is not a civil judgment. Again, Allstate's counsel has not been able to reach the State's Attorney to see if it could even pay this and make this right before this IDOI response was due. Allstate has not been able to reach the judgment creditor (O'Brien Landscape) either. Allstate, through counsel, is attempting to see if payment can be made but there are variables and moving parts, and before the insured's federal discrimination case put a chilling effect on these communications.

Again, Allstate is not unwilling to pay the O'Brien Landscape PD claim (now that it is aware of same), but actually it does not know if: (1) it can, (2) the State's Attorney would let this happen; and (3) what proof could even be produced to the State's Attorney to even show this satisfaction.

Again, if the State's Attorney's entire sentencing was based on Mr. Allababidi paying this out of pocket as a penalty to prevent future conduct, then Allstate may not be able to make this right, even if it is willing to.

Additionally, it would seem precipitous too, for Allstate to pay anything without proof of the damages and seeing whether this "restitution" is in fact damages that were already reimbursed by the insurance company to O'Brien Landscape. Allstate knows nothing at this time about any of this and cannot obtain any information from any of the attorneys. Because of this IDOI Complaint, counsel for Allstate did not reach out to Mr. Allababidi personally about any of this, either.

G. The Time to Present Property Damage Claims Has Not Expired Yet.

At the time of answering this IDOI Complaint, Allstate is not in receipt of any subrogation claim by the insurer for O'Brien Landscape, which is believed to be Frankenmuth Mutual. Allstate is unaware if O'Brien Landscape submitted this property claim to Frankenmuth and if so whether it paid said claim. As Allstate is led to believe, the restitution claim in favor of O'Brien Landscape was for \$2,670.86. This should be for an amount not previously paid and is a loss or out of pocket claim of O'Brien Landscape to make things right for this creditor. It would not seem that the State's Attorney would set up any restitution to reimburse a subrogation insurer. No one from the State Attorney's Office will give any information to Allstate or its counsel.

Allstate is a member of intercompany arbitration with many insurers, including Frankenmuth Mutual. If Allstate were put on notice of this subrogation claim, it would have dealt with it through intercompany arbitration. No insurer filed such a demand for recovery.

If Allstate were put on notice of any out of pocket claim (not covered) pressed by O'Brien Landscape it would have dealt with these too. No person or entity including O'Brien Landscape or its insurer Frankenmuth Mutual reached out to Allstate with the claim, a demand to settle, or filed suit against the Allstate insured.

Allstate never had any opportunity to take care of any property claims except the claim by Sentry Insurance. In fact, in review of the claims file, Allstate after this accident reached out to possible bodily injury victim Manuel Rojo, and confirmed no injury. Allstate reached out to O'Brien Landscape and received no communication either.

The SOL for property damage does not expire until May 23, 2027. Allstate has the balance of \$83,443.00 to pay any such claims.

Allstate sought to identify claims and not hearing from anyone never pressed matters further. It paid Sentry since that property damage claim was pressed. No others were. Allstate has no obligation to prompt or remind parties to make claims and file suit. Not every claimant seeks recovery as IDOI is well aware. Allstate had no active letters of representation by any counsel for O'Brien Landscape and Frankenmuth Mutual. O'Brien Landscape was aware that Allstate covered this loss. Allstate routinely will settle and pay unrepaid claimants. This did not happen here. Neither was Allstate put on any notice of the restitution agreement or request for payment either.



EXHIBIT H

The Commission's closure letters of June 18 and July 1, 2026

ARDCB-000050 – ARDCB-000055

Four pages. Nos. 2026IN03189, 2026IN03190, 2026IN03266 and 2026IN03281, all closed at intake without investigation. Produced in full, including the paragraphs adverse to the complainant, because Part XIV is built on them.

The pages that follow are a true copy of the referenced record, produced without alteration.

Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, Intake Division — Christine P. Anderson, Senior Counsel, to Ehab Allababidi, June 18, 2026 and July 1, 2026

The ground of both closures

*[Your complaint regarding Assistant States Attorney ... are essentially claims of prosecutorial misconduct. Such claims are appropriately addressed and resolved in the courts through motions, appeals and other legal actions. Therefore, in most instances, **this Commission will not act on such claims unless a court has first found that a prosecutor acted improperly.**]*

The final substantive paragraph of both letters

*[If, in the future, **any court finds that the State ... acted improperly in connection with your case, we invite you to send us a copy of the court's decision. At that time, we will determine whether further action by this office may be warranted.**]*

Quoted without alteration. The source page follows under the same Bates range.



ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION
of the
SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

One Prudential Plaza
130 East Randolph Drive, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6219
(312) 565-2600 (800) 826-8625
Fax (312) 565-2320

3161 West White Oaks Drive, Suite 301
Springfield, IL 62704
(217) 546-3523 (800) 252-8048
Fax (217) 546-3785

Ehab Allababidi
By Email: defcon5ready@gmail.com

Chicago
June 18, 2026

Re: Bailey Clare. Russell
No. 2026IN03189

Nicholas Shepherd
No. 2026IN03190

Dear Mr. Allababidi:

We have received your request for an investigation of attorneys Bailey Russell and Nicholas Shepherd.

The duties of this Commission relate primarily to investigating and prosecuting alleged violations of the Illinois Rules of Professional Conduct (IRPC) by lawyers. When we have sufficient evidence of one or more serious rule violations by an attorney, we may initiate proceedings seeking disciplinary sanctions against the lawyer, such as suspension or disbarment from the practice of law. This agency cannot and does not review decisions by judges, hearing officers and administrative law judges. From the information you have provided, we have determined that there is not a sufficient basis for this agency to investigate or pursue charges of professional misconduct against the attorneys and judge that you complained about.

Your complaint regarding attorney Bailey Russell relate to her effectiveness as your counsel. Allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel are appropriately addressed and resolved in the courts through motions, appeals, petitions for post-conviction relief, and other legal actions. Therefore, as a general policy, this Commission will not act on such allegations unless and until there has been a court finding that a lawyer was ineffective. If you have not already done so, you may wish to raise your concerns about their representation in court.

Your complaint regarding Assistant States Attorney Nicholas Shepherd, are essentially claims of prosecutorial misconduct. Such claims are appropriately addressed and resolved in the courts through motions, appeals and other legal actions. Therefore, in most instances, this Commission will not act on such claims unless a court has first found that a prosecutor acted improperly.

If, in the future, any court finds that the State or your defense counsel acted improperly in connection with your case, we invite you to send us a copy of the court's decision. At that time,

Ehab Allababidi
June 18, 2026
Page 2

we will determine whether further action by this office may be warranted. At this time, however, we will take no further action in the matter.

Although we are unable to take any action with respect to your requests, we thank you for taking the time to communicate with us regarding your concerns.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Christine P. Anderson

Christine P. Anderson
Senior Counsel
ARDC Intake Division

CPA:bd
4924-4552-7477, v. 1



ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION
of the
SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS
www.iardc.org

One Prudential Plaza
130 East Randolph Drive, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6219
(312) 565-2600 (800) 826-8625
Fax (312) 565-2320

3161 West White Oaks Drive, Suite
301
Springfield, IL 62704
(217) 546-3523 (800) 252-8048
Fax (217) 546-3785

Ehab Allababidi
By email: defcon5ready@gmail.com

Chicago
July 1, 2026

Re:

Francis Paul De Rosa
No. 2026IN03266

Gregory R. Ticsay
No. 2026IN03281

Dear Mr. Allababidi:

We have received your request for an investigation of attorneys Francis DeRosa and Gregory Ticsay.

The duties of this Commission relate primarily to investigating and prosecuting alleged violations of the Illinois Rules of Professional Conduct (IRPC) by lawyers. When we have sufficient evidence of one or more serious rule violations by an attorney, we may initiate proceedings seeking disciplinary sanctions against the lawyer, such as suspension or disbarment from the practice of law. This agency cannot and does not review decisions by judges, hearing officers and administrative law judges. From the information you have provided, we have determined that there is not a sufficient basis for this agency to investigate or pursue charges of professional misconduct against the attorneys that you complained about.

Your complaint regarding attorney Gregory Ticsay relate to his office's effectiveness as your counsel. Allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel are appropriately addressed and resolved in the courts through motions, appeals, petitions for post-conviction relief, and other legal actions. Therefore, as a general policy, this Commission will not act on such allegations unless and until there has been a court finding that a lawyer was ineffective. If you have not already done so, you may wish to raise your concerns about their representation in court.

Your complaint regarding Assistant States Attorney Francis Ticsay, are essentially claims of prosecutorial misconduct. Such claims are appropriately addressed and resolved in the courts through motions, appeals and other legal actions. Therefore, in most instances, this Commission will not act on such claims unless a court has first found that a prosecutor acted improperly.

If, in the future, any court finds that the State or your defense counsel acted improperly in connection with your case, we invite you to send us a copy of the court's decision. At that time,

Mr. Allababidi
July 1, 2026
Page 2

we will determine whether further action by this office may be warranted. At this time, however, we will take no further action in the matter.

Although we are unable to take any action with respect to your requests, we thank you for taking the time to communicate with us regarding your concerns.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Christine P. Anderson

Christine P. Anderson
Senior Counsel
ARDC Intake Division

CPA:ng
4901-6434-3993, v. 1