



WRITTEN THE DAY OFFICERS CAME TO THE DOOR — AUGUST 13, 2026

WRITTEN ANSWER REQUESTED BY 5:00 P.M.
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2026

Notice Concerning the Warrant Record Maintained Under ORI IL0492900, Demand for Validation or Cancellation, Litigation Hold, and Request Under the Freedom of Information Act

Adam B. Simon, Village Attorney — Ancel Glink, P.C.
140 South Dearborn Street, Sixth Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60603
asimon@ancelglink.com

Chief of Police, Lincolnshire Police Department
Village Manager and Freedom of Information Officer
LEADS Terminal Agency Coordinator
Village of Lincolnshire, One Olde Half Day Road
Lincolnshire, Illinois 60069

And to the Village's risk-management and insurance representatives, to whom the recipients are asked to transmit this letter.

RECORD AT ISSUE

Lake County Sheriff's Warrant No. 314270 · State Warrant No. W26F4152 · ORI IL0492900

Ehab Allababidi, DOB 09/24/1996 · Lake County No. 23 CF 1146 / 23CF00001146 · entry active since May 28, 2026 · **attempted execution at 8516 W. Winona St., Chicago, August 13, 2026, approximately 3:00 p.m.**

Village Attorney, Chief, Village Manager, and FOIA Officer:

This letter is addressed to the Village of Lincolnshire in its own right, and to the Village's Police Department, its Village Attorney, its Village Manager, its FOIA Officer and its risk-management and insurance functions. It is about one record, and it asks the Village to do one thing that is entirely within its own power and requires no court, no prosecutor, and no agreement from the writer.

WHAT HAPPENED THIS AFTERNOON

At approximately 3:00 p.m. today, August 13, 2026, law enforcement officers came to the door of 8516 West Winona Street, Chicago, and asked for me by name, on a warrant issued May 28, 2026. I was not home. The declaration at Exhibit A states what I can support and identifies what I cannot. **I do not allege that any officer did anything improper.** An officer executing a facially valid warrant is doing his job. This letter is not about the officers.

It is about the record that sent them, and about whose name is on it. The warrant entry is maintained under **ORI IL0492900 — the Lincolnshire Police Department**. That is the identifier I gave the Illinois State Police in writing on July 29, 2026 (Ex. C), sixteen days before today, and nothing about it has changed since. **The Village's name is on the record that produced yesterday afternoon.**

I. THE SINGLE QUESTION, AND WHY IT HAS ONLY THREE POSSIBLE ANSWERS

An agency that enters or maintains a record in LEADS and NCIC is responsible for its accuracy and has the administrative means to validate it, correct it, suspend it, or cancel it. That is not an extraordinary remedy and it is not litigation. It is the ordinary housekeeping of a records system, it is performed by agencies every day, and **it requires no order of any court.** The Village can do it this week. So the question this letter puts is narrow:



THE QUESTION

[Is the warrant record maintained under ORI IL0492900 against Ehab Allababidi, DOB 09/24/1996, in connection with Lake County case 23CF00001146, **the Village of Lincolnshire's record?**]

The writer sets out below what follows from each possible answer, so that the Village can see that he is not attempting to trap it and that a prompt answer is in its interest under any of them:

IF THE VILLAGE ANSWERS	WHAT THE WRITER ASKS, AND WHAT FOLLOWS
"The record is ours, and it is accurate."	Then the writer asks nothing further of the Village on accuracy and will say so in writing in each forum. He asks only that the Village confirm it in writing and identify the officer or employee responsible for the entry and its periodic validation. The Village will have adopted the entry, in writing, on a date certain , and the writer will proceed on that basis.
"The record is ours, and it should not be active."	Then the writer asks the Village to cancel or suspend the entry through its ordinary administrative channel and to notify the Lake County Sheriff's Office (ORI IL0490000) and the Illinois State Police that it has done so. That ends the matter as to the Village entirely, today, and the writer will say so.
"The record is not ours."	Then a record bearing this Village's ORI has been maintained against a named person for seventy-eight days by an entity that is not this Village, and officers have acted on it. The writer asks the Village to say so in writing and to identify what it understands the responsible agency to be — and respectfully suggests that this is the answer with the most urgency in it for the Village , and none of that urgency is the writer's doing.
Silence.	The writer will record that this letter was delivered on August 13, 2026, that it asked one question, and that no answer was given. This letter and its exhibits are being furnished to three courts as part of filings made this same date. Silence is an answer that is preserved rather than an answer that is avoided.

II. WHAT THE WRITER UNDERSTANDS THE VILLAGE TO HAVE SAID ALREADY, AND ASKS IT TO CONFIRM

The writer states this carefully because he is not willing to attribute to the Village a position it has not taken. **It is his understanding, and he has stated it in writing to a court, that the Village of Lincolnshire has previously disclaimed involvement in this matter in writing.** He does not reproduce that disclaimer here, and he asks the Village to correct him if the understanding is wrong.

He asks the Village to appreciate why the point matters and why he raises it without hostility. If the Village has said in writing that it was not involved, and the warrant record nonetheless carries the Village's ORI, then those two things cannot both be describing the same state of affairs, and the discrepancy has now had a consequence at a doorstep. **He is not asking the Village to explain the discrepancy to him.** He is asking it to look at the record, decide which of the two is right, and say so.

III. WHAT IS REQUESTED, AND BY WHEN

The writer asks for a written response to each of the following by **5:00 p.m. Monday, August 17, 2026**. He has kept the list to items that can be answered in a sentence and that require no legal position to be taken:

- 1 **State whether the entry is the Village's.** Confirm or deny that the warrant record maintained under ORI IL0492900 against the writer, in connection with Lake County case 23CF00001146, is a record entered or maintained by the Lincolnshire Police Department.



- 2 **If it is, validate it or cancel it.** Conduct the validation the Village's own procedures provide for and state the outcome. If the entry is inaccurate, incomplete, unsupported, or should not be active, **cancel or suspend it and notify the Lake County Sheriff's Office and the Illinois State Police.**
- 3 **If it is not, say whose it is.** State in writing that the record is not the Village's and identify what the Village understands the entering and maintaining agency to be.
- 4 **Identify the responsible person.** Name the officer or employee responsible for the entry, for its periodic validation, and for the Village's LEADS terminal agency coordinator function.
- 5 **State whether anyone asked.** State whether any person — including any attorney representing the Village or any of its officials, and including any attorney at Ancel Glink, P.C. — has at any time between May 28, 2026 and the date of this letter requested that the Village validate, correct, recall, suspend, or cancel this entry. **A one-word answer is sufficient.**
- 6 **Confirm the hold at Part IV.**

IV. LITIGATION HOLD

This is a formal litigation hold. Litigation involving the writer is pending in the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Appellate Court of Illinois, and the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and claims concerning the maintenance of this record are reasonably anticipated. From receipt of this letter the Village and every person acting for it must suspend any deletion, overwriting, purge, rotation, or automatic-retention routine affecting the following and preserve them in native form with metadata intact:

- 1 Every record concerning the entry, review, validation, second-party verification, modification, correction, suspension, cancellation, or purge of the warrant record identified above, including every periodic validation performed since May 28, 2026.
- 2 Every LEADS or NCIC transaction, query, audit, or terminal log identifying this writer, this case number, or this warrant, together with operator identifiers and timestamps.
- 3 **All records concerning August 13, 2026** — every CAD entry, dispatch record, radio or telephone log, hit-confirmation request or response, locate, directed message, unit assignment, daily activity report, AVL or GPS record, body-worn or in-car camera recording, and outside-jurisdiction assistance record relating to any attempt to locate or apprehend the writer on that date, whether or not a Village unit participated.
- 4 Every communication between the Village or its Police Department and the Lake County Sheriff's Office, the Lake County State's Attorney, the Illinois State Police, the Chicago Police Department, or Chicago OEMC concerning the writer, at any time.
- 5 Every communication between any Village official or employee and **Ancel Glink, P.C.**, or any attorney at that firm, concerning the writer, the warrant, Lake County case 23CF00001146, or Cook County Chancery No. 2025 CH 12908.
- 6 The Village's document-retention schedules and any destruction certificates touching the foregoing categories.

The writer asks that this hold be transmitted to the Chief of Police, the Village Manager, the Village Attorney, the records custodian, the LEADS terminal agency coordinator, and the Village's risk-management and insurance representatives.

V. A SEQUENCE THE WRITER STATES AND EXPRESSLY DECLINES TO INTERPRET

The writer sets out four facts. He draws no conclusion from them, asks the Village to draw none, and asserts no wrongdoing by any person. He states them because the Village is entitled to know why this letter is being furnished to a court in a case to which the Village is not a party, and because he would rather the Village hear it from him first.

- 1 **Adam B. Simon** is the Village Attorney of Lincolnshire and a partner of **Ancel Glink, P.C.**
- 2 Ancel Glink, P.C. appears for the defendant, adverse to the writer, in *Allababidi v. City of Park Ridge*, No. 2025 CH 12908 (Cook Cty. Ch.). Mr. Simon is copied on the correspondence in that cause. (Ex. E.)



- 3 On **August 11, 2026 at 10:58 a.m.**, Ancel Glink tendered a proposed order to that court creating a condition of dismissal for want of prosecution keyed to the writer's appearance on **September 2, 2026**. (Ex. E.)
- 4 The instrument that makes the writer's personal appearance at a courthouse hazardous is the warrant record carrying **this Village's ORI** — and the writer is aware of no request by anyone at that firm, to its partner or to its municipal client, that the entry be validated or cancelled. **Item 5 of Part III asks the Village whether such a request exists, precisely because the writer does not know and will not assume.**

That is the whole of it, and the writer stops there deliberately. He is not alleging a scheme; he has no evidence of one and says so plainly. He is telling the Village that a record bearing its name is operating, in a courtroom where its own attorneys appear, in a way no one at the Village may have intended or even known about. **If that is news to the Village, it is exactly the sort of thing a municipality would want to hear on day one rather than in a deposition.** That is why the letter is written today and not later.

VI. REQUEST UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT, 5 ILCS 140

The entirety of this request appears in the body of the transmitting electronic message, in accordance with 5 ILCS 140/3(c). No attachment need be opened to understand or process it. This is a noncommercial request. It concerns the events of August 13, 2026 and does not amend, replace, or narrow the request of July 29, 2026 (Ex. D), FOIA 349, FOIA 356, or any pending review before the Public Access Counselor.

- 1 **Category 1 — August 13, 2026.** All existing records concerning any attempt to locate, contact, or apprehend Ehab Allababidi on August 13, 2026, including CAD entries, dispatch and radio logs, hit-confirmation requests and responses, locates, directed messages, unit assignments, daily activity reports, supervisor logs, AVL or GPS records, and any outside-jurisdiction assistance request or response, whether originating with or received by the Village.
- 2 **Category 2 — Validation of the entry.** All existing validation, second-party verification, quality-control, serious-error, audit, correction, suspension, cancellation, and purge records concerning the warrant record identified above, from May 28, 2026 to the present, including every periodic validation notice received from or returned to the Illinois State Police.
- 3 **Category 3 — Agency of record.** Existing records sufficient to identify the agency and ORI responsible for entering and maintaining that record, and the date and time of entry and of every material modification.
- 4 **Category 4 — Requests to cancel.** All existing records of any request, from any source, that the Village validate, correct, recall, suspend, or cancel that entry, and the Village's response.
- 5 **Category 5 — Counsel communications.** All existing communications between any Village official or employee and Ancel Glink, P.C. or any attorney of that firm concerning Ehab Allababidi, the warrant, Lake County case 23CF00001146, or Cook County Chancery No. 2025 CH 12908. Billing narratives and invoices describing work performed on those subjects are responsive; dollar amounts may be redacted.
- 6 **Category 6 — Retention.** If a record responsive to Categories 1 through 5 existed and is no longer available, the existing destruction, purge, overwrite, loss, or retention record identifying the category, the retention authority, and the date. **This category does not ask the Village to create an explanation.**

This request does not seek raw LEADS or NCIC returns, criminal-history returns, or restricted substantive database fields. Where exempt content appears within an otherwise responsive record, please redact only that content and produce every reasonably segregable nonexempt portion. For each withholding, please furnish the written determination required by **5 ILCS 140/9**, identifying the record or category, the specific exemption, the factual basis, the name and title of the person responsible, and review rights. A response is due within five business days under 5 ILCS 140/3(d), which falls on **Thursday, August 20, 2026**; if the Village extends under section 3(e), please identify the subsection relied on. Electronic production is requested.

VII. WHAT THE WRITER IS NOT DOING



He is not suing the Village. He is not threatening to. He is not asking the Village to take a position on the merits of a criminal case in another county, and he is not asking it to criticize any other agency. He has not asserted that any Village officer or employee has done anything wrong, and nothing in this letter should be quoted as such an assertion.

He is telling the Village that its ORI is on a record; that the record produced officers at his door yesterday afternoon; that the Village can validate or cancel that record itself, without anyone's leave; and that he is asking it, in writing and on a date, to do one or the other. **He would much rather write a letter next month saying the Village looked at it and handled it than file anything at all.** That outcome is available and it is entirely in the Village's hands.

Respectfully and without waiver of any right or remedy,

/s/ Ehab Allababidi

EHAB ALLABABIDI | *Pro Se*

8516 W. Winona St., Chicago, IL 60656

(773) 920-0030 | defcon5ready@gmail.com