

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION

EHAB ALLABABIDI, Plaintiff, v. CITY OF PARK RIDGE, ILLINOIS, Defendant.	Case No. 2025 CH 12908 Hon. Neil H. Cohen Calendar 5 Chancery Division Next date: September 2, 2026 9:30 a.m.
---	--

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL VERIFIED NOTICE OF
DOCUMENTED COUNSEL NOTICE, WARRANT-AGENCY
DISCREPANCY, AND GENERAL-COUNSEL FUNDING RECORD;
MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD, COMPEL VERIFIED
CONFLICT DISCLOSURE, AND PRESERVE MATTER-CODED
RECORDS

Ill. R. Prof. Conduct 1.7, 1.10, 1.13, 1.16 | 735 ILCS 5/1-109 | supplemental to the August 11, 2026 Emergency Motion and Exhibits A-U

The August 11 filing asked whether Ancel Glink used a problem running through its own municipal network as a litigation advantage. The supplemental record now supplies the notice trail, the Village acknowledgment, and the City funding sequence that make that question document-driven.

The previously filed record already established four points: Ancel Glink appeared for Park Ridge; Ancel partner Adam B. Simon had answered Plaintiff for Park Ridge before the formal appearance and stated that the complaint had been tendered to the City's insurer; the Lake County Sheriff's Warrant Details record identified IL0492900: Lincolnshire Police Department as the issuing agency for Warrant No. 314270; and Lincolnshire later disclaimed involvement. Existing Exs. A, B, J, L-M, PR-000004 through PR-000049; Verified Declaration ¶¶ 8-10.

What is added here is the connective record: Simon and Ancel received warrant-specific preservation and nonexecution notice on June 25; Simon then received four separate July 17 transmissions escalating from counsel review, to LEADS/NCIC audit preservation, to board-level risk tender, to Illinois Attorney General PAC review; Lincolnshire acknowledged those July 17 communications and a litigation hold three days later; and Park Ridge's own February 17 agenda shows an exact-dollar pair - \$30,000 moved to General Counsel and a \$30,000 increase for Ancel Glink - on the same agenda that contemplated closed-session litigation.

I. THE SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ADDS FIVE HIGH-VALUE FACTS

- June 25 actual notice. Simon, Ancel Glink's general inbox, Lincolnshire Police command, Lincolnshire administration, the Lake County Sheriff, State's Attorney, and court actors were simultaneously copied on a corrected preservation and warrant-nonexecution notice concerning No. 23 CF 1146. Gmail Message ID 19efcc34d35494b7.
- July 17 counsel escalation. In a 22-minute sequence, Simon was a direct addressee of (1) a counsel-review/cure notice at 17:38:41, (2) a command-and-counsel LEADS/NCIC audit-preservation demand at 17:44:09, and (3) a board-level independent-review/risk-tender notice at 17:51:09; at 18:00:46 he was copied on the PAC Request for Review.

- July 20 institutional acknowledgment. Lincolnshire's Village Manager wrote that the Village was in receipt of the July 17 electronic communications, acknowledged a litigation hold "in force since June 6, 2026," and confirmed that related records would be retained. Supplemental Ex. Z.
- August 5 categorical denial. Lincolnshire Police Records then stated that Lincolnshire was not involved in the May/June events because "they were not our warrants." That position sits directly against the Sheriff-produced Warrant Details field identifying Lincolnshire Police Department as Warrant Issuing Agency.
- February 17 funding convergence. Park Ridge's official City Council Committee-of-the-Whole agenda placed, in immediate sequence, a \$30,000 General Fund transfer from Contingency to General Counsel and a \$30,000 purchase-order increase for Ancel Glink. At that point Simon had already answered for the City 43 days earlier, Ancel had formally appeared 13 days earlier, and the case was pending.

II. THE \$30,000 PAIRING: TENDER -> APPEARANCE -> FUNDING

JAN. 5	Simon answers for Park Ridge. He states that the City tendered Plaintiff's complaint to its insurer for coverage and assignment of counsel. Existing Ex. B, PR-000006-000010.
FEB. 4	Ancel Glink formally appears. Hoban and Sharma file the firm's appearance for the City. Existing Ex. A, PR-000004-000005.
FEB. 17	Park Ridge places an exact-dollar legal-funding pair on its agenda. \$30,000 from Contingency to General Counsel; \$30,000 purchase-order increase for Ancel Glink. Same agenda includes a closed-session litigation item under 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11).

The City's own ledger-facing agenda makes the sequence unusually clean. The first Ancel-related communication in the filed record did not begin with Sharma or Hoban; it began with Simon, who told Plaintiff the complaint had been tendered to the insurer. Thirteen days after the formal Ancel appearance, the City placed an exact \$30,000 transfer into General Counsel directly beside an exact \$30,000 increase for Ancel Glink, P.C. The same public agenda also reserved closed-session space for pending or probable litigation.

The missing fact is allocation. Matter-coded invoices, purchase-order history, the memorandum supporting the increase, insurer assignment records, and any reimbursement or reserve records will identify what caused the increase and which matters consumed it. The equal-dollar pairing is therefore not background; it is a concrete funding trail tied by the City itself to General Counsel and Ancel Glink.

Official source: [Park Ridge Committee of the Whole Agenda - February 17, 2026](#)

III. THE WARRANT NOTICE TRAIL TO SIMON AND ANCEL GLINK

The notice question is no longer inferential. The Gmail metadata fixes the addresses, timestamps, subjects, attachments, and Message IDs. Every entry below is a SENT message from Plaintiff's account.

Timestamp	Gmail ID (internal)	Routing	Substance / requested action
2026-06-25 03:11:57	19efcc34d35494b7	CC: Simon; info@ancelglink.com; mmack@ancelglink.com; Lincolnshire command; Sheriff; SAO; court actors	Corrected preservation and warrant-nonexecution notice; attached Rule 383 supervisory filing; directed law-enforcement recipients to associate the notice with warrant/custody files.
2026-07-17 17:38:41	19f7128b8647ec9f	TO: Simon, Chief Leonas, Brad Burke; CC: Pieper, Covelli, Ancel general inbox	Counsel review; FOIA #356; May 28 warrant; federal joinder/cure; preservation of email, CAD/RMS, telephone, LEADS/NCIC audit information; routing to insurer/risk.
2026-07-17 17:44:09	19f712dbae93b0d6	TO: Chief Leonas, Simon; CC: Lincolnshire admin, Ancel inbox, Sheriff, SAO, Pieper	Agency-of-record review; identify entering/maintaining/confirming agency; preserve complete audit trail; identify activity; route corrective action to responsible authority.

Timestamp	Gmail ID (internal)	Routing	Substance / requested action
2026-07-17 17:51:09	19f7134254d0a1cd	TO: Brad Burke, Simon; CC: Ancel general inbox	Board-level independent review; insurance/risk-pool tender; Board/admin/finance/legal/risk preservation; identify carrier/pool/claims administrator and notice status.
2026-07-17 18:00:46	19f713cf0df54aa1	TO: Illinois AG PAC; CC: Pieper, Cole, Simon	Formal PAC review of Lincolnshire FOIA #356/#349; asserted conflict between no-records/not-involved response and June transmissions/warrant attribution; requested broader custodian/system search.
2026-08-12 00:36:27	19ff36632481ec3b	TO: Calendar 5; CC: Sharma, Hoban, Simon, Tappendorf, RF&C; counsel	Courtesy copies of Aug. 11 emergency disqualification filing, declaration, proposed order, notice, Exhibits A-U, SHA-256 manifest; expressly presented institutional conflict theory to court and all affected counsel.

Four notices in twenty-two minutes. The July 17 sequence was not a generic complaint. It progressively isolated legal review, warrant-database ownership, audit preservation, insurer/risk handling, and outside PAC review. Simon was not merely copied at the end; he was a direct addressee of the first three steps.

IV. THE JULY 17 EMAILS IDENTIFIED THE EXACT STEPS COUNSEL COULD TAKE

17:38:41 - Counsel review and cure. Plaintiff expressly asked Simon and Lincolnshire leadership to reconcile the Village's no-records/not-involved position with June transmissions; identify counsel; route the material to the Chief, Manager, IT/records custodians and insurer/risk representative; preserve Village email, FOIA processing, CAD/RMS, telephone and LEADS/NCIC audit information; and provide a counsel-reviewed response. Gmail ID 19f7128b8647ec9f.

17:44:09 - Agency-of-record and LEADS/NCIC audit preservation. Plaintiff did not demand that Simon personally cancel a judicial warrant. The message instead asked the Village to identify its actual database role; preserve queries, hits, confirmations, validations, modifications, cancellations and interagency messages; identify any Lincolnshire activity; reconcile the records search; route any required action to the responsible authority; and state the operational position. Gmail ID 19f712dbae93b0d6.

17:51:09 - Board-level risk tender. Plaintiff asked for an independent review and a determination whether Lincolnshire was protected by commercial insurance, an intergovernmental risk pool, joint self-insurance or individual self-insurance; whether notice had gone to the carrier/pool/claims administrator; and whether legal, finance and risk records were under preservation. Gmail ID 19f7134254d0a1cd.

18:00:46 - External oversight. Plaintiff then sent the Illinois Attorney General Public Access Counselor a formal review request and copied Simon. The request challenged the sufficiency of Lincolnshire's search and sought review of police, command, administration, legal, dispatch, CAD/RMS, telephone and electronic-communications custodians. Gmail ID 19f713cf0df54aa1.

The sequence matters because it forecloses any attempt to reduce "notice" to knowledge that a warrant existed. The communications identified specific, non-merits operational acts: conflict review, routing, preservation, database-role verification, correction-request routing, insurer notice and custodian identification.

V. LINCOLNSHIRE ACKNOWLEDGED THE NOTICE - THEN DENIED THE WARRANT WAS ITS WARRANT

On July 20, Lincolnshire's Village Manager issued a signed letter titled "Acknowledgement of Litigation Hold and FOIA Status Update." The Village stated that it had received Plaintiff's July 17 electronic communications, acknowledged a litigation hold in force since June 6, and confirmed preservation of related records by the Village's corporate authorities. The letter was copied to the Mayor/Board and Police Chief. Supplemental Ex. Z.

Nineteen days after the July 17 notice sequence, Lincolnshire Police Records responded to FOIA #389 with the categorical position that Lincolnshire was not involved in the May/June events because the warrants were not Lincolnshire's. That statement creates a direct, binary record problem when compared with the Sheriff-produced Warrant Details record already filed as Ex. J, which identifies Warrant No. 314270, State Warrant W26F4152, and the Warrant Issuing Agency as IL0492900: Lincolnshire Police Department.

SHERIFF-PRODUCED WARRANT DETAILS	LINCOLNSHIRE POLICE RECORDS
Warrant No. 314270 State Warrant W26F4152 Warrant Issuing Agency: IL0492900 - Lincolnshire Police Department Existing Ex. J / Decl. ¶ 8	August 5 FOIA #389 response: Lincolnshire was not involved in the May/June events because the warrants were not Lincolnshire's.

That contradiction is exactly why the requested disclosures are narrow: identify who entered, maintained, confirmed, validated, modified or communicated the warrant attribution; identify what Simon or any Ancel lawyer did after notice; and preserve the native audit trail.

VI. THE PUBLIC RECORD SHOWS MULTIPLE INSTITUTIONAL BRIDGES

- Simon is a current Ancel Glink partner in the firm's North Suburban/Vernon Hills office. His current firm profile describes a practice servicing municipalities and public-finance matters. [Ancel Glink - Adam B. Simon profile](#).
- Ancel Glink's own current disclaimer expressly describes conflict checking as a prerequisite to representation. [Ancel Glink disclaimer](#).
- Lincolnshire's current public LinkedIn company page lists Adam Simon among Village employees and, in its recent posts, publicly thanks Ancel Glink as a Red, White & BOOM sponsor. [Village of Lincolnshire - LinkedIn](#).
- The historical relationship is not new. A 2015 Daily Herald report referred to Simon as Lincolnshire's village attorney, and a 2018 federal decision lists Simon/Ancel Glink among counsel for Lincolnshire. [Daily Herald - Lincolnshire / Simon; O'Brien v. Village of Lincolnshire](#).
- Lincolnshire's official Board-action archive shows that the Village approved "Professional Service Agreements as Detailed in the Fiscal Year 2026 Budget" on November 24, 2025. That is the direct public-record path to identifying the legal-services engagement in force during 2026. [Lincolnshire - Recent Board Actions](#).
- The Village finance page separately publishes the 2026 budget archive. The public budget and professional-services approvals create a clean document request for the vendor, engagement, appropriation and payment records associated with Village legal services in 2026. [Lincolnshire - Budgets](#).

These bridges matter for one reason: the relevant records are not hypothetical. They sit in known systems - conflict databases, engagement files, matter ledgers, municipal accounts-payable records, insurer assignments, warrant audit logs, and interagency communications.

VII. THE COORDINATION INFERENCE IS NOW DOCUMENT-DRIVEN

Plaintiff submits that the sequence supports an inference of coordinated institutional conduct sufficient to require disclosure and preservation: insurer tender -> Ancel appearance -> legal-funding increase -> dual-municipal access -> warrant attribution to Lincolnshire -> actual notice to Simon/Ancel -> Village preservation acknowledgment -> Village denial of warrant ownership -> Ancel's later use of Plaintiff's nonappearance to seek a dismissal condition.

The Court does not need to guess about intent. The documents that answer the question are finite and contemporaneous: the conflict-check entry, engagement/waiver documents, insurer assignment, matter-number opening data, time entries, billing narratives, the Park Ridge purchase-order increase backup, Lincolnshire legal-service engagement records, and every warrant-related communication after June 25 and July 17.

The February 17 funding record sharpens this further. The City itself put "General Counsel" and Ancel Glink into an exact-dollar \$30,000 pairing while this case was pending and shortly after the firm entered. If that increase was driven by this case, other litigation, or a combination, the matter ledger and invoices will say so. If any insurer or risk pool

1 funded or reimbursed the defense, the assignment and payment chain will say so. There is no reason for the question to
2 remain rhetorical.

3 **VIII. RULE 1.7 AND RULE 1.10 MAKE THE CONFLICT CHECK A RECORD QUESTION**

4 Illinois Rule of Professional Conduct 1.7(a)(2) addresses a significant risk that representation will be materially limited
5 by responsibilities to another client. Its comments direct lawyers to identify the clients, determine whether a conflict
6 exists, determine consentability, and obtain informed consent where permitted. The Rule also states that reasonable
7 conflict-check procedures should be used in litigation and nonlitigation matters. [Official Illinois Rule 1.7](#).

8 Rule 1.10(a) generally imputes a Rule 1.7 prohibition across lawyers associated in the same firm. [Official Illinois Rule](#)
9 [1.10](#). Rule 1.13 confirms that the organizational client is the organization itself. [Official Illinois Rule 1.13](#).

10 The practical consequence is direct. Once the warrant record, Lincolnshire connection, and repeated notices converged,
11 the material questions were not abstract ethics hypotheticals: Who were the current clients? What matter did each
12 engagement cover? What conflict check ran? What did it return? What disclosures or consents exist? What courses of
13 action were foreclosed or avoided because of duties to another municipal client, insurer, or payer?

14 **IX. TARGETED VERIFIED DISCLOSURE AND IN CAMERA PRODUCTION**

- 15 1. Identify every Ancel Glink engagement for Park Ridge and Lincolnshire in effect at any time from January 1 through
16 August 12, 2026, by client, matter number, opening date, responsible/billing attorney, and nonprivileged matter
17 description.
- 18 2. Identify the date and result category of every conflict check run in connection with this action, Plaintiff,
19 Lincolnshire, Warrant No. 314270 / W26F4152, Case No. 23 CF 1146, or any insurer/risk-pool assignment
20 touching those matters; produce any consent/waiver to the Court in camera.
- 21 3. Produce the memorandum, purchase-order history, approval backup, and matter-coded invoice cover sheets
22 supporting Park Ridge's February 17, 2026 \$30,000 Ancel Glink purchase-order increase and the paired \$30,000
23 transfer from Contingency to General Counsel.
- 24 4. Identify every insurer, intergovernmental risk pool, third-party administrator, claims administrator, or other payer
25 that received tender or notice of Plaintiff's Park Ridge claims or funded, assigned, reimbursed, reserved for, or paid
26 defense fees; identify assignment dates and assigned counsel.
- 27 5. Produce a dated log of every communication after June 25, 2026 by Simon, Sharma, Hoban, Tappendorf, or another
28 Ancel lawyer with Lincolnshire, the Lake County Sheriff, State's Attorney, insurer/risk personnel, or Park Ridge
concerning the warrant, Plaintiff's ability to appear, LEADS/NCIC attribution, validation, correction,
recall/nonexecution, or litigation consequences.
6. Identify the agency and operator responsible for entering/maintaining/confirming Warrant No. 314270 and the basis
for the Sheriff's "IL0492900: Lincolnshire Police Department" issuing-agency field; preserve and produce the
nonprivileged audit history or submit restricted portions in camera.
7. Preserve native Gmail/Exchange headers, internal forwarding, matter-management records, billing entries,
conflict-system records, telephone logs, CAD/RMS cross-references, LEADS/NCIC audit metadata, insurer
correspondence, purchase-order records, and all document-retention notices generated by the June 25 and July 17
transmissions.

X. RELIEF REQUESTED

1. Grant leave to supplement the August 11, 2026 emergency-motion record with Supplemental Exhibits V through Z
and the sources identified herein;
2. Order Ancel Glink and the City to file a verified response to the targeted disclosure requests in Part IX, with
privileged or confidential details submitted in camera rather than omitted;

3. Order preservation of the matter-coded, conflict, insurer, billing, warrant-audit and interagency records identified in this filing and the August 11 litigation hold;
4. Order production in camera of the February 17 purchase-order increase backup, matter-coded invoice cover sheets, any relevant engagement letters, and any conflict waiver/consent claimed to authorize simultaneous representation touching the matters at issue;
5. Consider this supplemental record together with Plaintiff's pending Emergency Motion to Disqualify Ancel Glink, P.C. at the September 2, 2026 setting; and
6. Grant such further relief as is necessary to prevent the funding, conflict, warrant-audit and preservation questions from being decided by silence rather than by the records that answer them.

Verification under 735 ILCS 5/1-109

Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to section 1-109 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff certifies that the factual statements concerning messages sent from his Gmail account, documents received by him, and the previously filed court record are true and correct to the best of his knowledge based on those records; the public-source statements reproduce or summarize the linked records identified in the Source Directory below.

/s/ Ehab Allababidi
EHAB ALLABABIDI, Plaintiff, Pro Se
8516 W. Winona St., Chicago, Illinois 60656
(773) 920-0030
defcon5ready@gmail.com
Dated: August 12, 2026

Case: 2025 CH 12908
Calendar: 5
Judge: Hon. Neil H. Cohen

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT V

EMAIL METADATA REGISTER - WARRANT / LINCOLNSHIRE / ANCEL GLINK NOTICE

V-1 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-06-25T03:11:57
Gmail ID (internal)	19efcc34d35494b7
Thread ID (internal)	19efcb9174671fcd
Subject	CORRECTED SERVICE / BOUNCE REMEDIATION - Emergency Rule 383 Supervisory Filing; Preservation and Nonexecution Notice - People v. Allababidi, No. 23 CF 1146 / Ill. S. Ct. Nos. 133295 & 133301
Routing	CC included Adam Simon, info@ancelglink.com, mmack@ancelglink.com, Lincolnshire Police command/administration, Lake County Sheriff and multiple court/State actors.
Attachment(s)	Notice of Filing; Emergency Motion for Supervisory Order; Supporting Record Rule 328; Certificate of Service
Substance	Preservation and warrant-nonexecution notice; told law-enforcement recipients to associate notice with warrant/custody files and preserve warrant, communication and audit records.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19efcc34d35494b7

V-2 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-07-17T17:38:41
Gmail ID (internal)	19f7128b8647ec9f
Thread ID (internal)	19f7126f1366d9cb
Subject	COUNSEL REVIEW REQUESTED - FOIA #356, Record Preservation, and May 28 Warrant - N.D. Ill. No. 1:26-cv-06738
Routing	TO: Adam Simon, Chief Leonas, Brad Burke. CC: Jill Pieper, Kimberly Covelli, info@ancelglink.com.
Attachment(s)	01_VILLAGE_ATTORNEY_AND_CHIEF_IMPENDING_JOINDER_AND_CURE.pdf (2,484,831 bytes)
Substance	Demanded counsel-level reconciliation, routing to IT/records and insurer/risk, and preservation of email, CAD/RMS, telephone and LEADS/NCIC audit information.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19f7128b8647ec9f

V-3 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-07-17T17:44:09
Gmail ID (internal)	19f712dbae93b0d6
Thread ID (internal)	19f712b1e7cf2748
Subject	COMMAND AND COUNSEL REVIEW REQUIRED - Agency-of-Record Status, LEADS/NCIC Audit Preservation, and May 28 Warrant - No. 23 CF 1146
Routing	TO: Chief Leonas, Adam Simon. CC included Covelli, Burke, info@ancelglink.com, Sheriff, State's Attorney, Pieper.
Attachment(s)	02_CHIEF_OF_POLICE_AGENCY_OF_RECORD_AND_LEADS_CORRECTION.pdf (1,925,211 bytes)
Substance	Asked who entered/maintained/confirmed the warrant, complete audit preservation, identification of Lincolnshire activity, routing to the responsible authority, and operational position.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19f712dbae93b0d6

V-4 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-07-17T17:51:09
Gmail ID (internal)	19f7134254d0a1cd
Thread ID (internal)	19f7132617df7db5

V-4 - Gmail message metadata

Subject	BOARD-LEVEL NOTICE - Independent Review, Preservation, and Municipal Risk Tender - N.D. Ill. No. 1:26-cv-06738
Routing	TO: Brad Burke, Adam Simon. CC: info@ancelglink.com.
Attachment(s)	03_VILLAGE_PRESIDENT_AND_BOARD_MUNICIPAL_EXPOSURE_NOTICE.pdf (1,912,199 bytes)
Substance	Requested independent review, insurance/risk-pool identification/tender, and preservation across Board, administration, finance, legal and risk records.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19f7134254d0a1cd

V-5 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-07-17T18:00:46
Gmail ID (internal)	19f713cf0df54aa1
Thread ID (internal)	19f71397a2f09189
Subject	REQUEST FOR REVIEW - Village of Lincolnshire FOIA #356 and Unresolved FOIA #349 - July 17, 2026 "No Records" Closure - 5 ILCS 140/9.5
Routing	TO: Illinois AG Public Access. CC: Jill Pieper, Leslie Cole, Adam Simon.
Attachment(s)	FOIA_LINCOLNSHIRE_PD_RECORDS.pdf; 349 Notice_of_Extension.pdf; 4_LINCOLNSHIRE_SUPPLEMENT.pdf; 04_PAC_REQUEST_FOR_REVIEW_FOIA_356.pdf
Substance	Asked PAC to review search adequacy and broader custodians/systems; expressly copied Lincolnshire personnel and counsel for contemporaneous service.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19f713cf0df54aa1

V-6 - Gmail message metadata

Timestamp	2026-08-12T00:36:27
Gmail ID (internal)	19ff36632481ec3b
Thread ID (internal)	19fdcd415d41b8df
Subject	Re: Status Hearing + Motion Hearing - Allababidi v. City of Park Ridge, No. 2025 CH 12908 - August 11, 2026
Routing	TO: Calendar 5. CC: Sharma, Hoban, Adam Simon, Julie Tappendorf, Erin Shiel, Andrew Grill.
Attachment(s)	Emergency Motion; Declaration; Proposed Order; Notice; Exhibits A-U; SHA-256 Integrity Manifest
Substance	Served court-facing courtesy copy of the disqualification record and expressly identified the Lincolnshire/warrant conflict to all affected counsel.
Account link	https://mail.google.com/mail/#all/19ff36632481ec3b

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT W

PARK RIDGE FEBRUARY 17, 2026 - GENERAL COUNSEL / ANCEL GLINK FUNDING EXTRACT

Official record: Committee of the Whole Meeting of the Park Ridge City Council, February 17, 2026, Finance and Budget Action Items.

Action Item	Official agenda substance	Record significance
VI.A.3	Transfer \$30,000 within FY2025 General Fund from Contingency to General Counsel.	Creates \$30,000 additional legal-spend capacity in General Counsel.
VI.A.4	Increase the purchase order for Ancel Glink, P.C. by \$30,000.	Exact-dollar increase appears immediately after General Counsel transfer.
IX.A	Closed-session authority included pending/probable litigation under 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11).	Same public agenda contemplated litigation discussion while legal funding increase was before Council.

Timing against the filed record: Simon's January 5 insurer-tender email preceded the February 17 agenda by 43 days. Ancel Glink's February 4 appearance preceded it by 13 days. The action was therefore proposed after insurer tender and after formal appearance in this case.

Exact official hyperlink: https://parkridge.granicus.com/AgendaViewer.php?event_id=281217&view_id=1

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT X

PUBLIC-SOURCE CONNECTION REGISTER

ID	Source	Exact hyperlink	Use in record
P-1	Park Ridge official Feb. 17, 2026 Committee-of-the-Whole agenda	https://parkridge.granicus.com/AgendaViewer.php?event_id=281217&view_id=1	\$30,000 General Counsel transfer; \$30,000 Ancel Glink PO increase; same-agenda litigation closed-session item.
P-2	Village of Lincolnshire - Recent Board Actions	https://www.lincolnshireil.gov/government/about/recent-board-actions	Nov. 24, 2025 approval of Professional Service Agreements as detailed in the FY2026 Budget.
P-3	Village of Lincolnshire - Budgets	https://www.lincolnshireil.gov/departments/finance-department/budgets	Official link to 2026 Annual Budget and budget materials.
P-4	Ancel Glink - Adam B. Simon	https://ancelglink.com/adam-b-simon/	Current partner / North Suburban office; municipal and public-finance practice.
P-5	Ancel Glink - Disclaimer	https://ancelglink.com/disclaimer/	Firm's own public conflict-check statement.
P-6	Village of Lincolnshire - LinkedIn company page	https://www.linkedin.com/company/village-of-lincolnshire/	Current public company-page signal listing Adam Simon among Village employees; recent Village post thanking Ancel Glink as sponsor.
P-7	Daily Herald - Lincolnshire right-to-work article (Nov. 27, 2015)	https://www.dailyherald.com/20151127/business/will-lincolnshire-take-on-labor-unions-by-establishing-right-to-work-zone/	Historical public identification of Simon as Lincolnshire village attorney.
P-8	O'Brien v. Village of Lincolnshire (2018)	https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/Ib83b06b0fc4111e8a573b12ad1dad226/View/FullText.html	Historical federal case listing Simon/Ancel Glink as counsel for Lincolnshire.
P-9	Illinois Rule of Professional Conduct 1.7	https://www.illinoiscourts.gov/resources/e3223b75-d2b3-42c5-8cb-c-c268c32dd8a6/file	Current-client conflict and material-limitation rule; conflict-check procedures and informed consent.
P-10	Illinois Rule of Professional Conduct 1.10	https://www.illinoiscourts.gov/resources/76b61e9e-4b4a-4520-988b-63e09c112048/file	Imputation of Rule 1.7 conflicts within a firm.
P-11	Illinois Rule of Professional Conduct 1.13	https://www.illinoiscourts.gov/resources/ee53fd6f-06f7-463d-8053-6b63b5f04b0f/file	Organization-as-client framework.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT Y

RECORD CROSSWALK TO THE AUGUST 11 FILING

Ex.	Record	Location	Proposition
Existing A	Ancel Glink appearance for Park Ridge	PR-000004 to PR-000005	Formal firm representation
Existing B	Jan. 5 Simon emails - insurer tender / Lake County signature block	PR-000006 to PR-000010	Pre-appearance Park Ridge response; insurer-assignment statement
Existing J	Lake County Sheriff Warrant Details No. 314270	Existing A-U index / Declaration ¶ 8	Lincolnshire PD issuing-agency field
Existing L	Lincolnshire July 17 FOIA response	Existing A-U index / Declaration ¶ 10	Village says file closed / agency not involved
Existing M	Simon / Lincolnshire public-source compilation	Existing A-U index	Historical Village Attorney / Ancel relationship
Existing F	Chambers thread, July 31-Aug. 11	PR-000021 to PR-000025	9:30 consolidation; Sharma 10:58 proposed order
New V	Gmail metadata register	This filing	Actual notice routing and content to Simon/Ancel
New W	Park Ridge Feb. 17 funding extract	This filing / official URL	Exact-dollar General Counsel / Ancel Glink funding pair
New X	Public-source connection register	This filing	Current/historical relationship and public institutional links
New Y	Record crosswalk to August 11 filing	This filing	Connects new proof to existing A-U Bates record
New Z	Lincolnshire July 20 acknowledgment letter	Appended source page	Institutional receipt + litigation-hold acknowledgment

The crosswalk is designed to avoid refiling the 78-page A-U packet while making the new proof immediately traceable to the existing Bates record. Supplemental Exhibit Z follows as the actual one-page Village acknowledgment.



VILLAGE OF
LINCOLNSHIRE

July 20, 2026

Mr. Ehab Allababidi, Pro Se
8516 W. Winona Street
Chicago, IL 60656

SUBJECT: Acknowledgement of Litigation Hold and FOIA Status Update

Dear Mr. Allababidi,

The Village of Lincolnshire is in receipt of your various electronic communications dated July 17, 2026. The Village of Lincolnshire acknowledges receipt of a litigation hold in force since June 6, 2026 and confirms all records related to this matter will be retained by the corporate authorities of the Village of Lincolnshire as required by law.

In response to your communication regarding records requests under the Freedom of Information Act submitted to the Village of Lincolnshire, the Village is aware you have filed a request for review to the Public Access Counselor (PAC) in the Office of the Illinois Attorney General. The Village of Lincolnshire is prepared to comply with any direction or request from the PAC to comply with your requested review.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read 'Bradly Burke'.

Bradly J. Burke
Village Manager

cc: Lincolnshire Mayor and Board of Trustees
Police Chief Leonas