



COMPILED INFORMATION ALREADY CARRIED IN THE CASE-MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED BY 5:00 P.M.
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 12, 2026

Request for Compiled Court Information Under Local Rule 1-3.07(C)

Erin Cartwright Weinstein, Clerk of the Circuit Court
Nineteenth Judicial Circuit · 18 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085
(847) 377-3380 · fax (847) 360-6409 · CircuitClerk@lakecountyil.gov

RECORDS REQUEST

Compiled case information by attorney of record and by assigned judge, January 1, 2019 through the date of this request

Two petitions verified under 735 ILCS 5/1-109 in one prosecution, nineteen months apart · the Office's published 2022 Brady policy, Rules 1, 13, 14, and 15(a) · Exhibits A–H

Clerk Weinstein:

Every figure in the table below was generated by this office. This is a request under Local Rule 1-3.07(C) of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit for compiled information already maintained in the Clerk's electronic case-management system, and, in the alternative, a request that the Clerk identify in writing the procedure by which such a request is granted.

THE ASK, IN FOUR LINES

1. A report on three fields the case-management system already carries — two attorneys of record and one assigned judge, Part I. 2. Produce it under Part III of the Local Rules, *or* name the judge before whom a motion is presented, *or* identify the governing law. 3. No case files, no images, no sealed matter. 4. Response by 5:00 p.m. Wednesday, August 12, 2026.

THE TWO VERIFIED PETITIONS

Two Assistant State's Attorneys personally verified petitions in Lake County No. 23 CF 1146 under 735 ILCS 5/1-109, nineteen months apart. The first:

- September 20, 2024.** ASA Francis P. DeRosa personally verified a "People's Verified Petition to Revoke Pre-Trial Release" in No. 23 CF 1146 and attested to its statements as true and correct. It was withdrawn on the State's own motion thirty-nine days later. *Exhibit A.*
- June 12 and August 12, 2024.** DeRosa moved for reciprocal discovery, obtained an order compelling the defense to disclose defenses and witnesses within fourteen days, and then filed a Supplemental Disclosure adding seventeen medical professionals by name — Scott Miller, MD; Mark Oquist-Cardenas, MD; David Foosaner, MD; Kristin Vercillo, MD; Stephen Amesbury, MD; Megan Stock, MD; John Brunetti, DMD; Beth Ginsburg, MD; Rami Taha, MD; Marcus Talerico, MD; Stephen Clark, MD; Holly Loud, DO; Christopher Coury, MD; David Zartaitsky, MD; Shayle Patzik, MD; Shabirusain Abadin, MD; Maher Nahlawi, MD — and reserved the right to call "any other person mentioned in the reports." Thirty-five disclosed witnesses across two disclosures. *Exhibit B.*
- March 25, 2025.** The defense answer disclosed no criminal history, no expert witnesses, no physical evidence, and two witnesses. *Exhibit C.* The prosecution continued another five and a half months.



- 4 **September 8, 2025.** Not one of the thirty-five disclosed witnesses testified. Count 2 (aggravated speeding, 625 ILCS 5/11-601.5), charged in the June 14, 2023 indictment, was resolved by *nolle prosequi* on the State’s own motion. The matter was resolved by negotiated plea.

The second, in the same case:

- 1 **May 14, 2026.** ASA Nicholas Shepherd personally verified a Petition for Revocation of Probation. *Exhibit D.* Its first ground is a positive amphetamine screen.
- 2 **December 10, 2025 — five months before that petition was sworn.** Cook County probation officer Adison Weeks wrote, at 12:37 p.m.: “I know you are still being prescribed it... Your drug test results were positive for amphetamine, but it is all negative in my eyes because I know you are still taking the Adderall.” *Exhibit E.* Sentencing condition 4 bars prescription medication of *another*; the petition omits those two words.
- 3 **The nonpayment ground.** The September 8, 2025 Financial Sentencing Order, paragraph 7(a) (“Restitution”), is blank. *Exhibit F.* The separate Restitution Order’s \$2,670.86 is not payable in full until ninety days before termination of probation — December 8, 2027. The petition swore willful nonpayment nineteen months before the obligation matured. Allstate had already paid \$16,557.00 on Claim No. 0670868884 for the same collision before sentencing.
- 4 **The failure-to-report counts.** They name Lake County. Supervision transferred to Cook County effective October 1, 2025, on the county’s own AOIC form filed November 10, 2025, which recorded arrears of \$100.00. The petition does not disclose the transfer.
- 5 **Two contradictions on the face of the petition.** Paragraph 2.D recites twenty public-service hours per month; sentencing condition 19 requires twelve. The petition dates the screen November 10, 2025; the April 8, 2026 probation memorandum dates it November 20, 2025.
- 6 **May 28, 2026.** The minutes of the “Arraignment On Petition To Revoke” record no defendant present and no defense counsel present. Disposition: “Case Called / Issue Warrant.” *Exhibit G.* The warrant recites a technical violation and orders the defendant held in custody, against 730 ILCS 5/5-6-4(b).

THE VERIFICATION STATUTE

Both petitions were certified under 735 ILCS 5/1-109. The section defines what that certification is:

735 ILCS 5/1-109

[Unless otherwise expressly provided by rule of the Supreme Court, whenever in this Code any complaint, petition, answer, reply, bill of particulars, answer to interrogatories, affidavit, return or proof of service, or other document or pleading filed in any court of this State is required or permitted to be verified, or made, sworn to or verified under oath, such requirement or permission is hereby defined to include a certification of such pleading, affidavit or other document under penalty of perjury as provided in this Section.]

And it states its own consequence:

735 ILCS 5/1-109

[Any person who makes a false statement, material to the issue or point in question, which he does not believe to be true, in any pleading, affidavit or other document certified by such person in accordance with this Section shall be guilty of a Class 3 felony.]

The statute is reproduced because it supplies the standard, not because this letter applies it. What the records establish is what was sworn and what the same offices’ documents say. The clause “which he does not believe to be true” is an element no document attached here addresses, and no statement in this letter supplies it.



THE INSURER'S WRITTEN STATEMENT TO ITS REGULATOR

The nonpayment ground of the May 14, 2026 petition is \$2,670.86 to O'Brien Landscape. On July 20, 2026, Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company answered a complaint before the Illinois Department of Insurance, File No. 01124092, over the signature of Lourdes Krayser, Delivery Senior Manager. *Exhibit I*. Four of its statements bear on that ground.

First — the money was there.

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[At the time of the loss, the vehicle operated by Mr. Allababidi was covered by Allstate Policy No. 802 748 890, which carried \$100,000 in property damage limits.]

Second — coverage was never denied and liability was never disputed.

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Allstate opened the claim under 0670868884. Allstate did not deny coverage to anyone. In fact, as pointed out by claimant/insured, Allstate paid out the one property claim that was pressed by Sentry Insurance in the sum of \$16,557.00. No other claims to date were presented by any persons, individuals, or insurance companies for alleged property damage.]

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Because the date of loss is May 23, 2022, any party seeking recovery for property damage would have 5 years to file suit. At the time of this IDOI complaint, no suits are pending and the SOL does not expire until May 23, 2027. If suits were filed, Allstate would have defended and indemnified the insured (Mr. Allababidi), and possibly even tried to settle. ... Allstate did not dispute civil liability and it was a question of who was pressing recovery claims. No claims were ever pressed.]

Third — the claim underlying the revocation was never presented to the insurer.

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Certainly at no time was Allstate presented with any property damage loss of O'Brien Landscape, an entity to whom restitution was awarded by the State, in the sum of \$2,670.86.]

Fourth — the insurer retained counsel to pay it, and could not get an answer.

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Allstate retained defense counsel upon receipt of the DOI Complaint to see if counsel could reach out to the State's Attorney and the public defender to resolve this for Mr. Allababidi, but no one will respond or cooperate.]

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Allstate is not unwilling still to take care of this payment if it can, even though this is not a civil judgment. Again, Allstate's counsel has not been able to reach the State's Attorney to see if it could even pay this and make this right before this IDOI response was due. Allstate has not been able to reach the judgment creditor (O'Brien Landscape) either.]



ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[Allstate knows nothing at this time about any of this and cannot obtain any information from any of the attorneys.]

The letter devotes a titled section — Part E, “Allstate’s Practice and Procedures as to Restitution” — to what ordinarily happens in a matter of this kind, and twice states that this matter departed from it:

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[It is not unprecedented for the People and Allstate (through its defense counsel) to get together to see if perhaps insurance payment could resolve this controversy. That is not what happened here.]

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[In the past when claimants or their criminal lawyer asked Allstate to pay the restitution, Allstate was at least aware of same. It was not aware here. This IDOI Complaint is the first notice of any such demand for payment.]

And it closes:

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[We appreciate the opportunity to clarify our position and are willing to assist the insured in making this right. ... Allstate would help Mr. Allababidi if it could with complete transparency to the States’ Attorney’s Office and O’Brien Landscaping if presented with an opportunity to pay and make this right.]

THE DEMAND ALLSTATE STATES WAS NEVER MADE

The same filing contains one further statement, and it is the reason Exhibit J is produced:

ALLSTATE TO THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, JULY 20, 2026

[This IDOI Complaint is Allstate’s first notice of Mr. Allababidi’s plea deal and this restitution judgment. ... Surely, Allstate cannot be faulted for paying something it never was asked to pay, by the State’s Attorney, by Mr. Allababidi or anyone in his behalf, or by O’Brien Landscape.]

Exhibit J is that demand. June 16, 2026, 5:43 p.m., addressed to Allstate Claims and the Allstate Executive Office, copied to the Allstate Special Investigations Unit, the Illinois Department of Insurance, the Clerk of the Circuit Court, and **the Lake County State’s Attorney at statesattorney@lakecountyil.gov** — the address the circuit court designated. A seven-page demand went by facsimile to Allstate Claims and Subrogation at (866) 447-4293 the same day. It states:

DEMAND TO ALLSTATE, JUNE 16, 2026 — EXHIBIT J

[Allstate has already paid \$16,557.00 to Sentry Insurance for the James W. Smith Printing property-damage subrogation on this exact claim and accident. A second property loss from the SAME collision — O’Brien Landscape, \$2,670.86 — was never tendered to Allstate. Instead, the Lake County State’s Attorney obtained a restitution order against me for that amount and then swore, in a Petition for Revocation, that I ‘willfully failed’ to pay it — without disclosing to the court that an active Allstate policy covers the loss.]

And it asks, in terms:



DEMAND TO ALLSTATE, JUNE 16, 2026 — EXHIBIT J

[I request that Allstate, within a reasonable time: (1) open a claims/subrogation review of the O'Brien Landscape loss under this claim; (2) preserve the entire claim file...]

Allstate replied at 5:46 p.m. — three minutes later. The reply is automated and the reason it gives is a file format:

ALLSTATE TO THE SENDER, JUNE 16, 2026, 5:46 P.M. — EXHIBIT J

[Sorry, we can't route your message. Thank you for contacting us. We are unable to deliver your message, because it contains an attachment in a file format not supported by our system.]

Exhibit J therefore fixes two dates. The O'Brien loss and the figure \$2,670.86 were put to Allstate in writing on **June 16, 2026**, thirty-four days before the insurer told its regulator it had received no such demand. And the Lake County State's Attorney's Office received written notice, at its designated inbox on the same date, that an active policy with \$100,000 in property-damage limits covered the loss underlying the nonpayment ground of the pending petition. That was forty-seven days before the date of this letter. The petition has not been withdrawn.

The arithmetic of that ground is therefore fixed by the insurer's own filing: \$100,000.00 in limits available, \$2,670.86 sought by the State, \$0.00 of it ever presented to the carrier, and a limitations period that does not close until May 23, 2027. The May 14, 2026 petition swore that the failure to pay \$2,670.86 was willful. *Bearden v. Georgia*, 461 U.S. 660, 672–73 (1983), governs what a court must find before that failure may be converted into imprisonment.

THE DOCKET, AS ARITHMETIC

Every figure below is drawn from a certified circuit-court record in No. 23 CF 1146 or from a document produced with this letter. None is estimated.

The docket of No. 23 CF 1146	Figure
Date of loss to date of this letter	1,532 days
Indictment (June 14, 2023) to disposition (Sept. 8, 2025)	817 days
Court settings of record ("Event Result" entries)	29
Of those, entered as "Heard and Continued"	12
Orders continuing pretrial release	18
Trial settings of record	0
Days of pretrial supervision before plea	516
Witnesses disclosed by the State across two disclosures	35
Of those, medical professionals named	17
Of those, witnesses who testified	0
Witnesses disclosed by the defense	2
Counts charged in the indictment	2
Counts resolved by <i>nolle prosequi</i> on the State's motion	1
Verified petition of Sept. 20, 2024 — days to withdrawal	39
Restitution entered on the principal property loss (Financial Sentencing Order ¶ 7(a))	\$0.00
Paid by Allstate on Claim No. 0670868884 before sentencing	\$16,557.00



The docket of No. 23 CF 1146	Figure
Property-damage limits under Policy No. 802 748 890 (Ex. I)	\$100,000.00
Restitution ordered to O'Brien Landscape	\$2,670.86
Amount of that claim ever presented to the insurer (Ex. I)	\$0.00
Civil limitations period on the property loss expires (Ex. I)	May 23, 2027
Probation arrears per the County's own AOIC form of Nov. 10, 2025	\$100.00
Petitions to revoke personally verified under 735 ILCS 5/1-109, by two prosecutors	2

I. WHAT IS REQUESTED

For the period January 1, 2019 through the date of this request, a report drawn from fields the case-management system already carries, in electronic format:

- 1 Each criminal matter in which **Nicholas Shepherd** is recorded as an attorney of record for the People — case number, filing date, charge class, disposition, and disposition date.
- 2 Each criminal matter in which **Francis P. DeRosa** is recorded as an attorney of record for the People — the same fields.
- 3 Each criminal matter assigned to **the Hon. Christopher R. Stride** — case number, filing date, charge class, disposition, and disposition date.
- 4 For each matter in items 1 through 3, the count of continuance entries and the count of trial settings, if the system carries either as a field or event code.

No case files, documents, or images are requested. No sealed, expunged, impounded, or juvenile record is requested. If the system carries a field indicating sealed or expunged status, exclude those rows and state the count excluded.

II. THE AUTHORITY, AND THE ALTERNATIVE

Local Rule 1-3.07(C) provides that dissemination of compiled information in electronic form is not permitted “except where explicitly provided for in Part III of these Rules, Court Order, or other law.” Part III establishes the Enhanced Remote Electronic Access Program under Local Rules 1-3.09 through 1-3.13, by subscriber agreement with the Clerk.

This request is therefore made in three alternatives, and a written answer to any one of them is a complete response:

- 1 Produce the report under Part III. If a Subscriber Agreement is required, transmit it and state the fee. If the fee schedule admits of a waiver, identify the provision.
- 2 If the Clerk’s position is that a Court Order is required under Local Rule 1-3.07(C), state that in writing and identify the judge or division before whom such a motion is presented, so that it may be presented there.
- 3 If the Clerk’s position is that “other law” governs, identify the law.

The Clerk is the keeper of the records of the court. 705 ILCS 105/16. This request seeks no record that is not already public and no field the case-management system does not already carry.

III. PURPOSE STATED ON THE FACE OF THE REQUEST

The Lake County State’s Attorney’s Office publishes a Brady policy requiring disclosure when a government witness “lacks candor with the tribunal,” and forbidding any division of the Office from being treated as “walled off” from that duty. Exhibit H is that policy. Exhibits A through G are the two verified petitions and the records bearing on their sworn contents. The report requested identifies the matters in which those attorneys appeared. The purpose



is stated so that the Clerk need not infer it.

WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED BY

5:00 p.m. Wednesday, August 12, 2026. A response identifying which of the three alternatives the Clerk's office applies is a complete response. Produce in electronic format to the address below; no paper production is requested.

Respectfully and without waiver of any right or remedy,

/s/ Ehab Allababidi

EHAB ALLABABIDI | *Pro Se*

8516 W. Winona St., Chicago, IL 60656

(773) 920-0030 | defcon5ready@gmail.com