

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

EHAB ALLABABIDI,
Petitioner, Pro Se,

v.

HON. CHRISTOPHER R. STRIDE, Judge of the
Circuit Court of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit,
Lake County, Illinois, and the
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
Respondents.

No. _____

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR
SUPERVISORY ORDER**

Original Proceeding for
Supervisory Order
(Ill. S. Ct. R. 383)

Related Mandamus Action:
No. 133295 (Ill. S. Ct.)

Related Trial Case:
People v. Allababidi
Lake County No. 23 CF 1146

11
12
13
14
15
16
17

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR SUPERVISORY ORDER
Illinois Supreme Court Rule 383 — Original Proceeding

Petitioner EHAB ALLABABIDI, appearing pro se, respectfully moves this Court under Illinois Supreme Court Rule 383 and Article VI, Section 16 of the Illinois Constitution to exercise its supervisory authority over the Circuit Court of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit and to enter an emergency supervisory order preserving the status quo: immediate temporary stay of the June 18, 2026 file-stamped order lifting the stay of Petitioner’s 180-day jail sentence in *People v. Allababidi*, Lake County No. 23 CF 1146, immediate nonexecution of the related warrant, and prompt assignment of the pending substitution-for-cause motion to a judge not named in that motion. In the alternative, if this Court concludes the June 18 order exceeded the lower court’s authority after the section 114-5(d) motion was served and presented, Petitioner requests vacatur or such equivalent supervisory relief as this Court deems proper.

18
19

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT: THE NARROW ORDER THIS COURT CAN ENTER
TODAY**

This motion is framed to require as little merits adjudication as possible. Petitioner does not ask this Court to decide the revocation petition, decide the substitution-for-cause motion, find misconduct, or resolve federal civil-rights claims. The immediately grantable relief is narrower: freeze enforcement of a custody-triggering order whose docket entry occurred hours after a verified substitution-for-cause packet had been delivered to the Chief Judge and State’s Attorney, and direct the case to the neutral-judge procedure section 114-5(d) requires.

That order would not prejudge the State’s revocation allegations. It would only prevent arrest and confinement before a judge not named in the substitution motion determines who may lawfully proceed and before the local court preserves the electronic records necessary to test the timeline.

20
21
22
23
24
25

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 26
27
28
1. Whether this Court should temporarily stay enforcement of a file-stamped custodial order entered at 10:48 a.m. on June 18, 2026, where the record attached to this motion shows that a verified 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d) substitution-for-cause packet had been delivered to the Chief Judge by 5:07 a.m. that same morning.

-
2. Whether, at minimum, the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit should be directed to assign the pending substitution-for-cause motion to a judge not named in the motion before any further liberty-depriving action is taken.
 3. Whether all court, clerk, eFileIL/Tyler, fax, Zoom/ECR, and warrant-notification records from June 15 through June 23, 2026 should be preserved pending review.

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioner asks this Court to enter a supervisory order:

- (A) TEMPORARILY STAYING, pending further order, enforcement of the June 18, 2026 file-stamped order lifting the stay of the 180-day Lake County Jail term;
- (B) DIRECTING that the May 28, 2026 violation warrant and any custody order predicated on the stay-lift order not be executed while this emergency motion, the pending original mandamus action, and the section 114-5(d) transfer question are under review;
- (C) DIRECTING the Chief Judge of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit to immediately transfer Case No. 23 CF 1146 to a judge not named in Petitioner's section 114-5(d) motion for a hearing on the substitution-for-cause motion;
- (D) DIRECTING the Clerk and the trial court to preserve all docket audit trails, eFileIL/Tyler transaction records, fax logs, Zoom/ECR records, and warrant-notification records from June 15 through June 23, 2026; and
- (E) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, VACATING or suspending the June 18 stay-lift order if this Court determines from the attached record that the order exceeded the lower court's authority after section 114-5(d) had been invoked.

II. RULE 383 BASIS AND EXPLANATORY SUGGESTIONS

1. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 383 provides that a motion requesting supervisory authority must be supported by explanatory suggestions and must contain or attach lower-court records or other pertinent material that fully present the issues, authenticated as required by Rule 328. This motion supplies the explanatory suggestions in the body below and attaches the pertinent record as Exhibits A through I.
2. Supervisory relief is appropriate because the normal appellate path is unavailable or inadequate: the local Circuit Clerk blockade is the subject of the pending Rule 381 mandamus action (No. 133295); the challenged stay-lift order exposes Petitioner to immediate custody; and the dispute presents a matter important to the administration of justice, namely whether a trial judge may enter or cause entry of a custodial order after a statutory substitution-for-cause motion has been served and presented to the Chief Judge.

This motion satisfies the recognized supervisory-order criteria in the most conservative way: the normal appellate process will not afford adequate relief before custody occurs; the dispute concerns the administration of justice and the assignment authority of Illinois courts; and intervention is necessary, at minimum, to keep the inferior court from taking further liberty-affecting action until the statutory neutral-judge procedure is honored. See *Burnette v. Terrell*, 232 Ill. 2d 522, 545 (2009); *People ex rel. Daley v. Suria*, 112 Ill. 2d 26, 38 (1986); *People ex rel. Birkett v. Bakalis*, 196 Ill. 2d 510, 513 (2001).

Rule 383 Requirement	Where satisfied
Explanatory suggestions	Contained in Sections II through VII of this motion.
Pertinent lower-court records	Attached as Exhibits A through I, including the stay-lift order, fax receipts, full substitution packet, portal page, minutes, and public-defender correspondence.
Authentication	Verification and Rule 328 certification signed under 735 ILCS 5/1-109 and 28 U.S.C. 1746.
Service	Certificate of Service lists Respondent, Attorney General, Chief Judge, trial judge, State's Attorney, Public Defender, and court-technology notice routes.
Emergency basis	Active warrant plus order lifting a 180-day jail stay before neutral review of the section 114-5(d) motion.

III. CRITICAL CHRONOLOGY

3. May 28, 2026: Lake County issued a violation warrant commanding arrest and custody for First Appearance Court. The warrant remains active.
4. June 15, 2026: The Public Defender's Office wrote that it had not been reappointed since October 6, 2025 and that the Clerk was incorrect to reject Petitioner's pro se pleadings on the ground that the Public Defender remained attorney of record. Exhibit H.
5. June 17, 2026: Court minutes state that the Public Defender was discharged, that the warrant would not be quashed, and that an oral motion to lift the stay on the jail sentence was granted. Exhibit G.
6. June 18, 2026, 4:20 a.m. to 5:07 a.m. CDT: Petitioner faxed the 39-page Omnibus Verified Motion for Substitution of Judge for Cause under 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d) to Chief Judge Daniel B. Shanes. Exhibit B; Exhibit D.
7. June 18, 2026, 4:22 a.m. to 5:09 a.m. CDT: Petitioner faxed the same 39-page substitution packet to the Lake County State's Attorney. Exhibit C.
8. June 18, 2026, 10:48 a.m. CDT: The order lifting the stay of the 180-day Lake County Jail term was file-stamped and entered. Exhibit A.
9. June 19, 2026: The public portal reflected the June 18 order and related entries. Exhibit F.

IV. THE JUNE 18 STAY-LIFT ORDER WAS ENTERED AFTER STATUTORY DIVESTITURE HAD BEEN INVOKED

10. Section 114-5(d) of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides that a defendant may move at any time for substitution of judge for cause, supported by affidavit, and that upon filing such a motion, a hearing shall be conducted as soon as possible by a judge not named in the motion. 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d). Under settled Illinois law, once a substitution-for-cause motion is filed, the named judge is divested of authority to enter any substantive rulings until a neutral judge hears the motion, and any substantive order entered in violation of this statute is void. *People v. Redisi*, 188 Ill. App. 3d 797, 801 (2d Dist. 1989); *People v. Boswell*, 250 Ill. App. 3d 537, 540 (2d Dist. 1993).
11. The record attached here is time-stamped. The substitution packet was transmitted to the Chief Judge at 4:20 a.m. and finished at 5:07 a.m. on June 18, 2026. The same packet was transmitted to the State's Attorney at 4:22 a.m. and finished at 5:09 a.m. The stay-lift order was not file-stamped until 10:48 a.m. Therefore, the stay-lift order was entered exactly **5 hours and 41 minutes** after the Chief Judge was served with the statutory transfer demand. Delivering the motion to the Chief Judge's office and staff constitutes filing and presentation under Illinois law when the Circuit Clerk blockades the docket. See *Durbin v. Spagnola*, 343 Ill. App. 3d 742, 747 (2d Dist. 2003).

-
- 1 12. Petitioner does not ask this Court to resolve disputed credibility in the first instance. The narrow emergency
2 point is mechanical: the docket-facing custodial order entered at 10:48 a.m. after the Rule 114-5(d) packet
3 was served on the Chief Judge and the prosecutor. A custodial order entered after that statutory moment
4 should be stayed immediately so the merits of substitution for cause can be heard by an uninvolved judge.
- 5 13. To be clear, Petitioner preserves his position that the stay-lift order is void because it was entered after the
6 statutory transfer mechanism was invoked. But the Court need not decide that final characterization now.
7 Even if this Court concludes only that a serious threshold question exists, the minimum grantable remedy
8 is to stay enforcement and require the neutral-judge section 114-5(d) hearing before custody is imposed.

9 **V. NORMAL APPELLATE REVIEW IS INADEQUATE**

- 10 14. The ordinary path through the trial court and appellate court cannot provide adequate relief before the injury
11 occurs. This Court already has before it the pending mandamus action (No. 133295) caused by the Lake
12 County Clerk's refusal and delay in docketing Petitioner's pro se papers. The challenged stay-lift order
13 creates immediate arrest-and-custody consequences before ordinary appeal can meaningfully occur.
- 14 15. The local forum is not simply slow; it is functionally unavailable. Petitioner's Supreme Court mandamus
15 action (No. 133295) exists because the Lake County Clerk blockade prevented ordinary filing, the Public
16 Defender disclaimed representation, and the active warrant remained in force. The June 18 stay-lift order
17 now escalates the same closed-loop problem into a direct custodial threat.

18 **VI. WHY THE SUPERVISORY ORDER SHOULD ISSUE NOW**

- 19 16. The requested order is narrow. It does not require this Court to decide the final merits of the revocation
20 petition, the substitution-for-cause motion, or the federal civil-rights allegations. It merely preserves the
21 status quo and prevents execution of a custodial order entered after the record shows a statutory transfer
22 demand had already been served.
- 23 17. Without immediate supervisory relief, Petitioner faces arrest and incarceration under an order whose validity
24 depends on a disputed and time-stamped jurisdictional sequence. The public interest favors preserving the
25 integrity of the criminal docket, preventing avoidable unlawful custody, and requiring compliance with the
26 statutory substitution procedure before any liberty-depriving order is enforced.

27 **VII. PROPOSED SUPERVISORY ORDER**

28 Petitioner respectfully proposes that this Court enter the following supervisory order, or substantially similar
relief:

Proposed Order: In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, and without deciding the ultimate merits
of the revocation petition or the substitution-for-cause motion, the Circuit Court of the Nineteenth Judicial
Circuit, Lake County, Illinois, in *People v. Allababidi*, No. 23 CF 1146, is directed to temporarily stay
enforcement of the June 18, 2026 file-stamped order lifting the stay of the 180-day Lake County Jail term and to
temporarily stay execution of the May 28, 2026 warrant to the extent predicated on that order. The Chief Judge
of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit is directed to promptly assign the pending 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d)
substitution-for-cause motion to a judge not named in the motion for hearing. The Clerk and all court personnel
are directed to preserve all records, audit logs, eFileIL/Tyler data, fax logs, Zoom/ECR records, and
warrant-notification records from June 15, 2026 through June 23, 2026. This order is without prejudice to further
proceedings before the assigned judge.

VIII. AUTHENTICATION AND EXHIBITS

18. Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 383 and Rule 328, Petitioner authenticates the attached exhibits as true and correct copies of the records in his possession, obtained from the Lake County portal, the eFileIL system, fax-confirmation screenshots generated by HP Smart, and filed PDFs already maintained in the case workspace.

Ex.	Description	Pertinence
A	June 18 File-Stamped Stay-Lift Order	The challenged order was dated June 17, 2026 but file-stamped June 18, 2026 at 10:48 a.m.; it states that the stay of the 180-day Lake County Jail term is lifted.
B	Chief Judge Shanes Fax Receipt - Substitution Motion	HP Smart receipt showing delivery to Chief Judge Daniel B. Shanes, fax +1 (847) 984-5626, started 4:20 a.m. CDT and finished 5:07 a.m. CDT on June 18, 2026, before the 10:48 a.m. file stamp.
C	State's Attorney Fax Receipt - Same Substitution Motion	HP Smart receipt showing delivery to the Lake County State's Attorney, fax +1 (847) 360-0993, started 4:22 a.m. and finished 5:09 a.m. CDT on June 18, 2026.
D	Substitution of Judge Fax Packet	The 39-page fax packet containing the Omnibus Verified Motion for Substitution of Judge for Cause under 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d), supporting affidavit, and proposed transfer order.
E	Proposed Transfer / Stay Order Submitted June 18	The proposed order requested immediate transfer under section 114-5(d) and a stay of execution of the May 28 warrant pending the cause hearing.
F	June 19 Lake County Portal Case Action Page	The public portal record showing the June 18 order, June 18 minute record, June 17 order, June 15 correspondence, May 28 warrant, and May 14 revocation petition.
G	June 17/18 Minutes - Warrant Not Quashed; Stay Lifted	Minutes state Public Defender discharged, warrant will not be quashed, oral motion to lift stay on jail sentence granted, and order entered.
H	June 15 Public Defender Non-Representation Correspondence	The Public Defender's Office stated it had not been reappointed since October 6, 2025 and that the Clerk was incorrect to reject pro se pleadings on counsel-of-record grounds.
I	Supreme Court eFile Envelope Details	The eFile envelope details for the pending Illinois Supreme Court original action, showing the live filing channel that bypasses the Lake County Clerk blockade.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

- (A) GRANT this Emergency Motion for Supervisory Order;
- (B) ENTER the proposed supervisory order above or equivalent temporary relief staying enforcement of the June 18, 2026 file-stamped stay-lift order and staying execution of the May 28 warrant while this Court reviews the emergency issue and the neutral-judge procedure is implemented;
- (C) DIRECT the Chief Judge of the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit to assign the 725 ILCS 5/114-5(d) motion to a judge not named in the motion for prompt hearing;
- (D) DIRECT preservation of all court, clerk, eFileIL/Tyler, fax, Zoom/ECR, and warrant records from June 15 through June 23, 2026;
- (E) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, if this Court declines immediate stay relief, ORDER Respondent and the nominal lower-court actors to answer on an emergency schedule before any execution of the stay-lift order or warrant;
- (F) GRANT expedited consideration; and
- (G) GRANT such other and further relief as this Court deems just.

Respectfully submitted,

1 /s/ Ehab Allababidi
2 EHAB ALLABABIDI, Petitioner, Pro Se
3 8516 W. Winona St.
4 Chicago, IL 60656
5 Phone: (773) 920-0030
6 Email: defcon5ready@gmail.com
7 Dated: June 23, 2026

8 **VERIFICATION AND RULE 328 CERTIFICATION**

9 Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109 and 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify that the
10 statements set forth in this Emergency Motion for Supervisory Order are true and correct to the best of my
11 knowledge, information, and belief, and that the attached exhibits are true and correct copies of the records
12 described above, maintained in my possession and submitted to fully present the issue under Illinois Supreme
13 Court Rule 383 and Rule 328.

14 /s/ Ehab Allababidi
15 EHAB ALLABABIDI
16 Dated: June 23, 2026
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR EMERGENCY MOTION

Illinois Supreme Court Rules 11, 12, and 383

I, Ehab Allababidi, certify under 735 ILCS 5/1-109 and 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that on June 23, 2026, I caused a true and correct copy of this Emergency Motion for Supervisory Order and its supporting record (Exhibits A through I) to be served by eFileIL submission and/or electronic mail upon the following persons and offices to the maximum extent available:

I. PRIMARY RESPONDENTS AND STATUTORY PARTIES

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Erin Cartwright Weinstein <i>Clerk of the Circuit Court</i>	CircuitClerk@lakecountyil.gov; courts@lakecountyil.gov; CCNoReply@lakecountyil.gov
Office of the Illinois Attorney General <i>Civil Appeals Division</i>	civilappeals@ilag.gov
Eric Rinehart <i>State's Attorney</i>	statesattorney@lakecountyil.gov

II. HOSTILE PROSECUTORS AND ADVERSE COUNSEL OF RECORD

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Nicholas Shepherd <i>Assistant State's Attorney (ASA)</i>	nshepherd@lakecountyil.gov
Francis P. DeRosa IV <i>Assistant State's Attorney (ASA)</i>	fderosa@lakecountyil.gov
Bailey C. Russell <i>Assistant Public Defender</i>	BRussell@lakecountyil.gov
Gregory C. Ticsay <i>Chief Public Defender</i>	GTicsay@lakecountyil.gov

III. JUDICIAL OFFICERS AND COURT ADMINISTRATION

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Hon. Christopher R. Stride <i>Presiding Judge</i>	cstride@lakecountyil.gov; ct611@lakecountyil.gov
Hon. Daniel B. Shanes <i>Chief Judge</i>	dshanes@lakecountyil.gov
Cynthia Pruitt <i>Court Administrator</i>	cpruitt@lakecountyil.gov
Hanna Becerra <i>Court Services Representative</i>	hbecerra@lakecountyil.gov

IV. CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL PROBATION INFRASTRUCTURE

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Marisa Cervantes <i>Lake County Adult Probation</i>	mcervantes@lakecountyil.gov
Matthew T. Junkin <i>Lake County Adult Probation</i>	mjunkin@lakecountyil.gov
Lori Carrier <i>Probation Supervisor (Lake County)</i>	lcarrier@lakecountyil.gov
Margaret K. Fontana <i>Director of Adult Probation (Lake County)</i>	mfontana@lakecountyil.gov
Adison Weeks <i>Cook County Adult Probation</i>	adison.weeks@cookcountyil.gov
Destiny Lee <i>Cook County Adult Probation</i>	destiny.lee@cookcountyil.gov

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Cook County Public Defender Office <i>Public Info Division</i>	apd.publicinfo@cookcountyil.gov

V. EXTERNAL DISCIPLINARY, CIVIL RIGHTS, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT MONITORS

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Illinois Attorney Registration & Disciplinary Commission (ARDC)	information@iadc.org
Illinois Judicial Inquiry Board (JIB)	jib.info@illinois.gov
U.S. Department of Justice <i>Public Integrity Section (PIN)</i>	pin@usdoj.gov
USAO ND Illinois <i>Civil Rights Section</i>	usails.civilrights@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice <i>Criminal Section, Civil Rights Division (Color of Law)</i>	Criminal.CRT@usdoj.gov
Federal Bureau of Investigation <i>Chicago Field Office</i>	chicago@fbi.gov
Chief of Police <i>Lincolnshire Police Department</i>	chief@lincolnshireil.gov
John Idleburg <i>Lake County Sheriff</i>	sheriff@lakecountyil.gov

VI. SYSTEMIC E-FILING INFRASTRUCTURE AND VENDOR OVERSIGHT

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (AOIC) <i>e-Filing Division</i>	efileil@illinoiscourts.gov; courttech@illinoiscourts.gov
Tyler Technologies, Inc. <i>Corporate Legal Counsel & Odyssey Support</i>	info@tylertech.com; legal@tylertech.com

VII. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS AND COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Cook County Independent Inspector General (OIIG)	oiiig@cookcountyil.gov
Cook County President's Office	officeofthepresident@cookcountyil.gov
Lake County Board	countyboard@lakecountyil.gov
Lake County Human Resources	humanresources@lakecountyil.gov
Lake County Judicial Human Resources	judicialhr@lakecountyil.gov
Illinois Office of Executive Inspector General (OEIG)	oeig.complaints@illinois.gov

VIII. LEGISLATIVE AND SYSTEMIC ACCESS MONITORING

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
U.S. House Judiciary Committee	judiciary@mail.house.gov
U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee	senate_judiciary@judiciary.senate.gov
AOIC Access to Justice Division	atj@illinoiscourts.gov
AOIC Director	aoicdirector@illinoiscourts.gov

IX. INSURERS AND EXTERNAL UNDERWRITERS

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Allstate Insurance Claims	claims@claims.allstate.com

X. MEDIA WATCHDOGS AND PUBLIC MONITORS

Recipient / Title	Electronic Service Address / Notice Vector
Injustice Watch	tips@injusticewatch.org
ProPublica Midwest	midwest@propublica.org
Chicago Tribune Watchdog	watchdog@chicagotribune.com
Chicago Sun-Times Investigations	investigations@suntimes.com
Dark Deck Official	darkdeckofficial@gmail.com

Because Petitioner is self-represented, indigent, and subject to an active custodial warrant, electronic service and courtesy-copy transmission are used to provide immediate actual notice while avoiding physical courthouse exposure.

/s/ Ehab Allababidi
EHAB ALLABABIDI, Petitioner, Pro Se
Dated: June 23, 2026